

---

# Problem Set 4

Ph.D. Course 2012:  
Nodal DG-FEM for solving partial differential equations

---

If you have not already done so, please download all the Matlab codes from the book from

<http://www.nudg.org/>

and store and unpack them in a directory you can use with Matlab.

---

We consider the prototype model for nonlinear hyperbolic conservation laws, namely the inviscid Burgers equation in one spatial dimension

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial u^2}{\partial x} = g(x, t), \quad x \in [-10, 50] \quad (1)$$

In class and in the text there are numerous examples of shock solutions which can be found by using the Rankine-Hugoniot condition directly.

In the exercise we start by considering exact solutions of the form

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{\cosh^2(\varepsilon(x + 5.0) - t)} + 1.$$

- Plot the function for values of  $\varepsilon$  equal to 0.1, 1, and 10 – what is the effect of changing  $\varepsilon$ ?
- Derive the right-hand side,  $g(x, t)$ , for Burgers equation such that the above function is an exact solution.
- Derive and implement a nodal DG-FEM scheme for solving the inviscid Burgers equation – you can use the three files **Burgers1Dxxx.m**.
- The goal is to run your code until  $T=50$ . Try first and run the code for different values of  $K = 10$  and  $N = 6, 10, 16$  and  $\varepsilon = 1.0$  – what do you observe – is the code behaving as you would expect, e.g., is there any substantial difference (other than accuracy) between the low resolution and the high resolution case ?
- Try and remove the dissipative terms in the LF flux (setting `maxvel = 0` in **Burgers1DRHS.m**). What do you observe ? – take  $K = 10$  and  $N = 6$ .
- What happens when you change  $\varepsilon$  ?

- 
- Can you explain your observations ?

Now modify your code so that you can consider the following extensions

1. Exact integration for the nonlinear term
  2. Filtering for stabilization
  3. Limiting
- Now return to the original goal of running your code until  $T=50$ . Run the code for different values of  $K$  and  $N$  using the three different approaches above – what do you observe – is the code behaving as you would expect ? To make the case more clear you may want to remove the Lax-Friedrich dissipative term as above.
  - Discuss the differences between the three approaches – advantages/disadvantages.
  - Study carefully the impact of the parameters in the filter, e.g., its order, and how it impact the quality of the solution.
  - Implement a TVD-RK scheme for the temporal integration – do you see any differences in the performance of the scheme ?

Enjoy!