02465: Introduction to reinforcement learning and control

Linearization and iterative LQR

Tue Herlau

DTU Compute

DTU Compute, Technical University of Denmark (DTU)



Lecture Schedule

Dynamical programming

1 The finite-horizon decision problem 7 February

2 Dynamical Programming 14 February

3 DP reformulations and introduction to Control

21 February

Control

- Discretization and PID control
 28 February
- **5** Direct methods and control by

optimization

7 March

6 Linear-quadratic problems in control 14 March

7 Linearization and iterative LQR

21 March

Syllabus: https://02465material.pages.compute.dtu.dk/02465public Help improve lecture by giving feedback on DTU learn

Reinforcement learning

- 8 Exploration and Bandits 28 March
- Bellmans equations and exact planning 4 April
- Monte-carlo methods and TD learning ^{11 April}
- Model-Free Control with tabular and linear methods

25 April

Eligibility traces

2 May

Beep-Q learning

9 May

Housekeeping

- Most of the feedback for project 1 is online on DTU Learn
 - The rest will be available in a few days
- Exam is expected to be in English (you can answer in Danish or English)

A bit of analysis

- \bullet Suppose $f:\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a well-behaved function
- The gradient is defined as:

$$abla f(oldsymbol{x}) = \left[egin{array}{c} rac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(oldsymbol{x}) \ dots \ rac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}(oldsymbol{x}) \end{array}
ight]$$

• The Hessian is defined as

$$\mathbf{H} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1^2} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1 \partial x_n} \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_2 \partial x_1} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_2^2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_2 \partial x_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_n \partial x_1} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_n \partial x_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_n^2} \end{bmatrix}$$



More analysis



 \bullet Let $\boldsymbol{f}:\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ be a well-behaved multi-variate function defined as

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} f_1(\mathbf{x}) \\ \vdots \\ f_m(\mathbf{x}) \end{bmatrix}$$

• The Jacobian matrix is defined as:

$$oldsymbol{J}_{oldsymbol{f}}(oldsymbol{x}) = \left[egin{array}{cccc} rac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} & \cdots & rac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \end{array}
ight] = \left[egin{array}{ccccc} rac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} & \cdots & rac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_n} \\ dots & \ddots & dots \\ rac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_1} & \cdots & rac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_n} \end{array}
ight]$$

Approximations



ullet Given the gradient and Hessian we can approximate f around ${\boldsymbol x}$

$$f(\mathbf{x} + \Delta) \approx f(\mathbf{x}) + \nabla f(\mathbf{x})^{\mathrm{T}} \Delta + \frac{1}{2} \Delta^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}) \Delta$$

• A similar expression can be obtained for a multi-variate *f*:

 $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{\Delta}) \approx \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{\Delta}$

Fundamental relations that are the basis for gradient descent, many higher-order optimization methods and all sorts of ML

From last time: The Linear-quadratic regulator

• For
$$k = 0, 1, ..., N - 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} x_{k+1} &= f_k(x_k, u_k, w_k) = A_k x_k + B_k u_k, \\ g_k(x_k, u_k, w_k) &= \frac{1}{2} x_k^\top Q_k x_k + \frac{1}{2} u_k^\top R_k u_k, \\ g_N(x_k) &= \frac{1}{2} x_N^\top Q_N x_N \end{aligned}$$

• The accumulated cost is:

$$J_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x}_0) = g_N(\boldsymbol{x}_N) + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} g_k(\boldsymbol{x}_k, \boldsymbol{u}_k)$$

• We put this into the dynamical programming algorithm and...

Apply dynamical programming:



• Define $V_N \equiv Q_N$ and initialize:

$$J_{N}^{*}\left(oldsymbol{x}_{N}
ight)=rac{1}{2}oldsymbol{x}_{N}^{T}Q_{N}oldsymbol{x}_{N}=rac{1}{2}oldsymbol{x}_{N}^{T}V_{N}oldsymbol{x}_{N}$$

• DP iteration (start at k = N - 1)

$$J_{k}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{k}\right) = \min_{\boldsymbol{u}_{k}} \mathbb{E} \left\{ g_{k}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{k}, \boldsymbol{u}_{k}, w_{k}\right) + J_{k+1}\left(f_{k}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{k}, \boldsymbol{u}_{k}, w_{k}\right)\right) \right\}$$

Lecture 7

21 March, 2025

• Remember to store optimal u_k^* as $\pi_k(x_k) = u_k^*$



This gives the controller:

 $V_N = Q_N$ $2 L_k = -(R_k + B_k^T V_{k+1} B_k)^{-1} (B_k^T V_{k+1} A_k)$ $3 V_k = Q_k + L_k^T R_k L_k + (A_k + B_k L_k)^T V_{k+1} (A_k + B_k L_k)$ $4 u_k^* = L_k x_k$ $5 J_k^* (x_k) = \frac{1}{2} x_k^T V_k x_k$

Double Integrator Example



$$\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1\\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{x}(t) + \begin{bmatrix} 0\\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{u}(t)$$
(1)

• Euler discretization using $\Delta=1$ System evolves according to:

$$oldsymbol{x}_{k+1} = \underbrace{egin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{=A} oldsymbol{x}_k + \underbrace{egin{bmatrix} 0 \ 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{=B} oldsymbol{u}_k$$

• Quadratic cost function:

$$J(\boldsymbol{x}_0) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{x}_k^{\top} Q \boldsymbol{x}_k + \frac{1}{2} u_k^{\top} R_k u_k$$

• Where:

$$Q_k = Q_N = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{\rho} & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad R = 1$$

DTU

Exponential integrator

• Apply discrete LQR

• Simulate starting in
$$m{x}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
 using policy $\pi_k(m{x}_k) = L_km{x}_k$

• What about the true system $\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}(t) = \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{u})?$



21 March, 2025



The most general form of LQR



• General dynamics:

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{k+1} = A_k \boldsymbol{x}_k + B_k \boldsymbol{u}_k + \boldsymbol{d}_k$$

• General quadratic cost:

$$c_k \left(\boldsymbol{x}_k, \boldsymbol{u}_k \right) = \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{x}_k^T Q_k \boldsymbol{x}_k + \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{u}_k^T R_k \boldsymbol{u}_k + \boldsymbol{u}_k^T H_k \boldsymbol{x}_k + \boldsymbol{q}_k^T \boldsymbol{x}_k + \boldsymbol{r}_k^T \boldsymbol{u}_k + \boldsymbol{q}_k$$

$$c_N \left(\boldsymbol{x}_k \right) = \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{x}_k^T Q_N \boldsymbol{x}_k + \boldsymbol{q}_N^T \boldsymbol{x}_k + \boldsymbol{q}_N$$

$$(\boldsymbol{x}_k) = \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{x}_k^T Q_N \boldsymbol{x}_k + \boldsymbol{q}_N^T \boldsymbol{x}_k + \boldsymbol{q}_N$$

$$(\boldsymbol{x}_k) = \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{u}_k^T Q_N \boldsymbol{x}_k + \boldsymbol{q}_N^T \boldsymbol{x}_k + \boldsymbol{q}_N$$

$$(\boldsymbol{x}_k) = \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{u}_k^T Q_N \boldsymbol{x}_k + \boldsymbol{q}_N^T \boldsymbol{x}_k + \boldsymbol{q}_N$$

$$(\boldsymbol{x}_k) = \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{u}_k^T Q_N \boldsymbol{x}_k + \boldsymbol{q}_N^T \boldsymbol{x}_k + \boldsymbol{q}_N$$

$$(\boldsymbol{x}_k) = \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{u}_k^T Q_N \boldsymbol{x}_k + \boldsymbol{q}_N^T \boldsymbol{x}_k + \boldsymbol{q}_N$$

$$(\boldsymbol{x}_k) = \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{u}_k^T Q_N \boldsymbol{x}_k + \boldsymbol{q}_N^T \boldsymbol{x}_k + \boldsymbol{q}_N$$

General discrete LQR algorithm
1.
$$V_N = Q_N$$
; $v_N = q_N$; $v_N = q_N$
2. $L_k = -S_{uu,k}^{-1}S_{ux,k}$
 $l_k = -S_{uu,k}^{-1}S_{u,k}$
 $S_{u,k} = r_k + B_k^T v_{k+1} + B_k^T V_{k+1} d_k$
 $S_{uu,k} = R_k + B_k^T V_{k+1} B_k$
 $S_{ux,k} = H_k + B_k^T V_{k+1} A_k$.
3. $V_k = Q_k + A_k^T V_{k+1} A_k - L_k^T S_{uu,k} L_k$
 $v_k = q_k + A_k^T (v_{k+1} + V_{k+1} d_k) + S_{ux,k}^T l_k$
 $v_k = v_{k+1} + q_k + d_k^T v_{k+1} + \frac{1}{2} d_k^T V_{k+1} d_k + \frac{1}{2} l_k^T S_{u,k}$
4. $u_k^* = l_k + L_k x_k$
5. $J_k(x_k) = \frac{1}{2} x_k^T V_k x_k + v_k^T x_k + v_k$.

(more seriously μ is a regularization term: $\mu \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow u \rightarrow 0$)

13 DTU Compute

DTU

Quiz: LQR

Which one of the following statements is correct?

- **a.** Control problems where the continuous-time dynamics takes the form $\ddot{x} = a\dot{x} + bx + c + u$ falls outside the scope of the linear quadratic regulator
- b. The linear-quadratic regulator is an example of model-free control
- **c.** In a linear-quadratic control problem of the form $x_{k+1} = Ax_k + Bu_k$, the matrices A and B must both be square.
- **d.** The cost-functions suitable for a linear-quadratic regulator can potentially produce negative values
- e. Don't know.

Controlling non-linear systems: Cartpole





- Continuous coordinates $\boldsymbol{x}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} x(t) & \dot{x}(t) & \theta(t) & \dot{\theta}(t) \end{bmatrix}$
- Action u is one-dimensional; the force applied to cart

Discretization



• Choose grid size N: $t_0, t_1, \ldots, t_N = t_F$, $t_{k+1} - t_k = \Delta$

•
$$\boldsymbol{x}_k = \boldsymbol{x}(t_k), \boldsymbol{u}_k = \boldsymbol{u}(t_k)$$

- Eulers method $oldsymbol{x}_{k+1} = oldsymbol{x}_k + \Delta f(oldsymbol{x}_k, oldsymbol{u}_k)$
- Discretized dynamics will have the form:

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{k+1} = \boldsymbol{f}_k(\boldsymbol{x}_k, \boldsymbol{u}_k)$$

Cartpole cost function



• We also apply a variable transformation:

$$\phi_x : \begin{bmatrix} x & \dot{x} & \theta & \dot{\theta} \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} x & \dot{x} & \sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) & \dot{\theta} \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (2)

• The cost function is of the form:

$$c(m{x}_k,m{u}_k) = rac{1}{2} \left(m{x} - egin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}
ight)^{ op} Q \left(m{x} - egin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}
ight) + rac{1}{2} \|m{u}_k\|^2$$

lecture_06_linearize.py

Controlling a non-linear system



• We know how to solve a linear/quadratic control problems of the form

$$oldsymbol{x}_{k+1} = A_k oldsymbol{x}_k + B_k oldsymbol{u}_k + oldsymbol{d}_k$$
 $c_k(oldsymbol{x}_k,oldsymbol{u}_k) = rac{1}{2} oldsymbol{x}_k^ op Q oldsymbol{x}_k + rac{1}{2} oldsymbol{u}_k^ op R oldsymbol{u}_k + \cdots$

• How can we use that to solve a problem with non-linear dynamics?

$$oldsymbol{x}_{k+1} = oldsymbol{f}_k(oldsymbol{x}_k,oldsymbol{u}_k) \ oldsymbol{c}_k(oldsymbol{x}_k,oldsymbol{u}_k) = \cdots$$

Solution: Linearization!

DTU

Assume a general dynamics:

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{k+1} = \boldsymbol{f}_k \left(\boldsymbol{x}_k, \boldsymbol{u}_k \right), \quad c \left(\boldsymbol{x}_k, \boldsymbol{u}_k \right)$$

Assume system is near \bar{x} , \bar{u} . Expand using Jacobians

$$oldsymbol{f}_k(oldsymbol{x}_k,oldsymbol{u}_k)pproxoldsymbol{f}_k(oldsymbol{ar{x}},oldsymbol{ar{u}})+\underbrace{rac{\partialoldsymbol{f}_k}{\partialoldsymbol{x}}(oldsymbol{ar{x}},oldsymbol{ar{u}})}_{A_k}(oldsymbol{x}_k-oldsymbol{ar{x}})+\underbrace{rac{\partialoldsymbol{f}_k}{\partialoldsymbol{u}}(oldsymbol{ar{x}},oldsymbol{ar{u}})}_{B_k}(oldsymbol{u}_k-oldsymbol{ar{u}})+\underbrace{rac{\partialoldsymbol{f}_k}{\partialoldsymbol{u}}(oldsymbol{ar{x}},oldsymbol{ar{u}})}_{B_k}(oldsymbol{ar{x}},oldsymbol{ar{u}})+\underbrace{rac{\partialoldsymbol{f}_k}{\partialoldsymbol{u}}(oldsymbol{ar{x}},oldsymbol{ar{u}})}_{B_k}(oldsymbol{ar{u}})+\underbrace{rac{\partialoldsymbol{f}_k}{\partialoldsymbol{u}}(oldsymbol{ar{x}},oldsymbol{ar{u}})}_{B_k}(oldsymbol{ar{u}},oldsymbol{ar{u}})+rac{\partialoldsymbol{ar{u}}_k}{\partialoldsymbol{u}}(oldsymbol{ar{u}},oldsymbol{ar{u}})}_{B_k}(oldsymbol{ar{u}},oldsymbol{ar{u}})+oldsymbol{ar{u}}(oldsymbol{ar{u}},oldsymbol{ar{u}})}_{B_k}(oldsymbol{ar{u}},oldsymbol{ar{u}})+oldsymbol{ar{u}}(oldsymbol{ar{u}},oldsymbol{ar{u}})}_{B_k}(oldsymbol{ar{u}},oldsymbol{ar{u}})+oldsymbol{ar{u}}(oldsymbol{ar{u}},oldsymbol{ar{u}})+oldsymbol{ar{u}}(oldsymbol{ar{u}},oldsymbol{ar{u}})+oldsymbol{ar{u}}(oldsymbol{ar{u}},oldsymbol{ar{u}})}_{B_k}(oldsymbol{ar{u}},oldsymbol{ar{u}})+oldsymbol{ar{u}}(oldsymbol{ar{u}},oldsymbol{ar{u}})+oldsymbol{ar{u}}(oldsymbol{ar{u}},oldsymbol{ar{u}})+oldsymbol{ar{u}}(oldsymbol{ar{u}},oldsymbol{ar{u}})+oldsymbol{ar{u}}(oldsymbol{ar{u}},oldsymbol{ar{u}})+oldsymbol{ar{u}}(oldsymbol{ar{u}},oldsymbol{ar{u}})+oldsymbol{ar{u}}(oldsymbol{ar{u}},oldsymbol{ar{u}})+oldsymbol{ar{u}}(oldsymbol{ar{u}},oldsymbol{ar{u}})+oldsymbol{ar{u}}(oldsymbol{ar{u}},oldsymbol{ar{u}})+oldsymbol{ar{u}}(oldsymbol{ar{u}},oldsymbol{ar{u}})+oldsymbol{ar{u}}(oldsymbol{ar{u}},oldsymbol{ar{u}})+oldsymbol{ar{u}}(oldsymbol{ar{u}},oldsymbol{ar{u}})+oldsymbol{ar{u}}(oldsymbol{ar{u}},oldsymbol{ar{u}})+oldsymbol{ar{u}}(oldsymbol{ar{u}},oldsymbol{ar{u}})+oldsymbol{ar{u}}($$

Simplifies to:

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{k+1} = A_k \boldsymbol{x}_k + B_k \boldsymbol{u}_k + \boldsymbol{f}_k(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}, \bar{\boldsymbol{u}}) - A_k \bar{\boldsymbol{x}} - B_k \bar{\boldsymbol{u}}$$

DTU

 $\label{eq:algorithm} Algorithm \ 1 \ {\sf Linearized} \ {\sf LQR}$

- **Require:** Given a problem horizon N, and an expansion point (\bar{x}, \bar{u}) corresponding to where the system should be Compute A_k, B_k, d_k by expansion Cost function is the same because it is already quadratic Use LQR, with dynamics A_k, B_k, d_k and cost matrices Q_k, R_k, q_k to obtain controller L_k, l_k for $k = 0, \dots, N - 1$. In a state x_k , the control law is $u_k^* = \bar{l}_k + L_k x_k$
- Select expansion point $ar{x}, ar{u}$ as desired state
- Usually $A_k = A, B_k = B$ so just choose a large N and use L_0, l_0

lecture_06_linearize_b.py

Quiz: Linearized LQR?

Which one of the following statements is **correct**?

- **a.** We should apply Exponential Integration to the linearized dynamics $A_k (= J_x f_k(\bar{x}, \bar{u}))$ and B_k before applying LQR
- **b.** Assuming Δ is small enough, the error incurred by Euler discretization can be managed.
- **c.** Assuming we plan on a sufficiently long horizon, the linear approximation to the dynamics does not result in major issues
- **d.** This is a computationally inefficient method compared to e.g. Direct control
- e. Don't know

Fixing linearization method

- \bullet Problem: The system may be far from \bar{x},\bar{u} giving a poor approximation
- Idea: Select expansion points $ar{m{x}},ar{m{u}}$ near current trajectory $m{x}_k,m{u}_k$

• How?

- Start with initial guess $ar{m{x}}_k, ar{m{u}}_k$ (nominal trajectory)
- Approximate around this guess
- Use LQR on approximation to get initial control law
- Simulate trajectory based on this control law
- Use the trajectory as a new guess and repeat

LQR Tracking around Nonlinear Trajectory

Given initial guess $\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_k, \bar{\boldsymbol{u}}_k$ (nominal trajectory) for $k = 1, 2, \dots, N-1$

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{k+1} \approx \underbrace{\boldsymbol{f}_k\left(\overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_k, \overline{\boldsymbol{u}}_k\right)}_{\overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_{k+1}} + \underbrace{\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{f}_k}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}}\left(\overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_k, \overline{\boldsymbol{u}}_k\right)}_{A_k} \underbrace{(\boldsymbol{x}_k - \overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_k)}_{\delta \boldsymbol{x}} + \underbrace{\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{f}_k}{\partial \boldsymbol{u}}\left(\overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_k, \overline{\boldsymbol{u}}_k\right)}_{B_k} \underbrace{(\boldsymbol{u}_k - \overline{\boldsymbol{u}}_k)}_{\delta \boldsymbol{u}}$$

Introduce new variables signifying deviation around the **nominal trajectory**:

$$\delta \boldsymbol{x}_k = \boldsymbol{x}_k - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_k, \quad \delta \boldsymbol{u}_k = \boldsymbol{u}_k - \bar{\boldsymbol{u}}_k.$$

Back-substituting gives:

$$\delta \boldsymbol{x}_{k+1} = A_k \delta \boldsymbol{x}_k + B_k \delta \boldsymbol{u}_k$$

Expansion of the cost function



We then expand the cost-function around: $m{z}_k = egin{bmatrix} m{x}_k \\ m{u}_k \end{bmatrix}$ and $ar{m{z}} = egin{bmatrix} ar{m{x}} \\ ar{m{u}} \end{bmatrix}$:

$$c_k(\boldsymbol{x}_k, \boldsymbol{u}_k) \approx c_k(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}, \bar{\boldsymbol{u}}) + (\nabla_{\boldsymbol{z}} c_k(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}, \bar{\boldsymbol{u}}))^\top (\boldsymbol{z}_k - \bar{\boldsymbol{z}}) + \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{z}_k - \bar{\boldsymbol{z}})^\top H_{\bar{\boldsymbol{z}}}(\boldsymbol{z}_k - \bar{\boldsymbol{z}})$$

Multiplying out all the terms gives a quadratic approximation:

$$\begin{aligned} c_k &= c_k(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}, \bar{\boldsymbol{u}}) \\ c_{\boldsymbol{x},k} &= \nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} c_k(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}, \bar{\bar{\boldsymbol{u}}}), \quad c_{\boldsymbol{u},k} = \nabla_{\boldsymbol{u}} c_k(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}, \bar{\boldsymbol{u}}) \\ c_{\boldsymbol{x}\boldsymbol{x},k} &= H_{\boldsymbol{x}} c_k(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}, \bar{\boldsymbol{u}}), \quad c_{\boldsymbol{u}\boldsymbol{u},k} = H_{\boldsymbol{u}} c_k(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}, \bar{\boldsymbol{u}}) \\ c_{\boldsymbol{u}\boldsymbol{x},k} &= J_{\boldsymbol{x}} \nabla_{\boldsymbol{u}} c_k(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}, \bar{\boldsymbol{u}}) \end{aligned}$$

Expansion of the cost function



all in all we get a quadratic cost function:

$$c_{k}(\delta \boldsymbol{x}_{k}, \delta \boldsymbol{u}_{k}) = \frac{1}{2} \delta \boldsymbol{x}_{k}^{\top} c_{\boldsymbol{x}\boldsymbol{x},k} \delta \boldsymbol{x}_{k} + c_{\boldsymbol{x},k}^{\top} \delta \boldsymbol{x}_{k} + \frac{1}{2} \delta \boldsymbol{u}_{k}^{\top} c_{\boldsymbol{u}\boldsymbol{u},k} \delta \boldsymbol{u}_{k} + c_{\boldsymbol{u},k}^{\top} \delta \boldsymbol{u}_{k} + \delta \boldsymbol{u}_{k}^{\top} c_{\boldsymbol{u}\boldsymbol{x},k} \delta \boldsymbol{x}_{k} + c_{k} c_{N}(\delta \boldsymbol{x}_{N}) = \frac{1}{2} \delta \boldsymbol{x}_{N}^{\top} c_{\boldsymbol{x}\boldsymbol{x},N} \delta \boldsymbol{x}_{N} + c_{\boldsymbol{x},N}^{\top} \delta \boldsymbol{x}_{N} + c_{N}$$

Linearized solution to actual controls

Given initial trajectory $ar{m{x}}_k$, $ar{m{u}}_k$

- Use previous derivation to get linear-quadratic problem A_k, B_k, \ldots
- Put this problem into LQR
- Once problem is solved, the control inputs obey

$$\delta \boldsymbol{u}_k^* = \boldsymbol{l}_k + L_k \delta \boldsymbol{x}_k$$

Rearranging

$$(\boldsymbol{u}_k^* - \bar{\boldsymbol{u}}_k) = \boldsymbol{l}_k + L_k(\boldsymbol{x}_k - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_k)$$

• Or

$$\boldsymbol{u}_k^* = ar{\boldsymbol{u}}_k + \boldsymbol{l}_k + L_k(\boldsymbol{x}_k - ar{\boldsymbol{x}}_k)$$

Basic iLQR Algorithm

Algorithm 2 Basic iLQR

Require: Given initial state x_0 1: Set $\bar{x}_k = x_0$, $\bar{u}_k = 0$ (or a random vector), $L_k = 0$ and $l_k = 0$ 2: $\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_k, \bar{\boldsymbol{u}}_k \leftarrow \text{FORWARD-PASS}(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_k, \bar{\boldsymbol{u}}_k, L_k, \boldsymbol{l}_k) \triangleright \text{Compute initial nominal trajectory using}$ ea. (17.10). 3: for i = 0 to a pre-specified number of iterations do $A_k, B_k, c_k, c_{rk}, c_{nk}, c_{rrk}, c_{nrk}, c_{nrk}, c_{nnk} \leftarrow \text{Get-Derivatives}(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_k, \bar{\boldsymbol{u}}_k)$ 4: $L_k, l_k \leftarrow \text{BACKWARD-PASS}(A_k, B_k, c_k, c_{r,k}, c_{n,k}, c_{r,r,k}, c_{n,r,k}, c_{n,r,k}, c_{n,r,k}, \mu)$ 5: $J^{(i)} \leftarrow \text{COST-OF-TRAJECTORY}(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_h, \bar{\boldsymbol{u}}_h)$ 6: $\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{k}, \bar{\boldsymbol{u}}_{k} \leftarrow \text{FORWARD-PASS}(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{k}, \bar{\boldsymbol{u}}_{k}, L_{k}, l_{k})$ 7. 8 end for 9: Compute control law $\pi_k(\boldsymbol{x}_k) = \bar{\boldsymbol{u}}_k + \bar{\boldsymbol{l}}_k + L_k(\boldsymbol{x}_k - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_k)$ 10: return $\{\pi_k\}_{k=0}^{N-1}$ 11: function FORWARD-PASS($\bar{x}_k, \bar{u}_k, L_k, l_k$) Forward-simulation of dynamics Set $x_0 = \bar{x}_0$ 12: for all k = 0, ..., N - 1 do 13. $\boldsymbol{u}_{k}^{*} \leftarrow \bar{\boldsymbol{u}}_{k} + L_{k}(\boldsymbol{x}_{k} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{k}) + \boldsymbol{l}_{k}$ ▷ see eq. (17.16) 14: 15: $\boldsymbol{x}_{k+1} \leftarrow f_k(\boldsymbol{x}_k, \boldsymbol{u}_k^*)$ end for 16 return x_k, u_k^* 17: 18: end function 19: function BACKWARD-PASS $(A_k, B_k, c_k, c_{x,k}, c_{u,k}, c_{xx,k}, c_{ux,k}, c_{uu,k}, \mu)$ eq. (17.14) Compute L_k , l_k using dLQR with μ , algorithm 22 Obtain control law 20. 21: end function 22: function COST-OF-TRAJECTORY(\bar{x}_k, \bar{u}_k) return $c_N(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_N) + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} c_k(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_k, \bar{\boldsymbol{u}}_k)$ 23: 24: end function

Basic iLQR: Pendulum swingup task



Pendulum starts at $\theta=\pi$ and $\dot{\theta}=0$ and controller tries to swing it up $\theta=0$





lecture_06_pendulum_bilqr_ubar

Iterative LQR

Basic iLQR is not very numerically stable. iLQR adds two ideas:

- Use regularization to stabilize the discrete LQR algorithm ($\mu)$
- Search for policies that are **close** to the old ones. Recall:

$$oldsymbol{u}_k^* = \overline{oldsymbol{u}}_k + l_k + L_k(oldsymbol{x}_k - \overline{oldsymbol{x}}_k)$$

- Since $(x_k \overline{x}_k)$ assumed small (and L_k stabilized by μ), decreasing l_k means new control closer to old.
- \bullet Specifically, introduce $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$

$$\boldsymbol{u}_{k}^{*} = \overline{\boldsymbol{u}}_{k} + \alpha l_{k} + L_{k}(\boldsymbol{x}_{k} - \overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_{k})$$

Iterative LQR Procedure

- \bullet Initialize regularization parameter to a fairly low value μ
- In the forward pass try smaller and smaller changes to trajectory (lpha-values)
- For each α -value check if the cost $J^{(i)}$ decreases relative to $J^{(i-1)}$. If so, accept this α and decrease the regularization parameter μ by a small amount
- \bullet If no $\alpha\text{-value}$ works, increase the regularization parameter μ by a small amount

iLQR Algorithm

Algorithm 3 iLQR

Require: Given initial state x_0 1: $\mu_{\min} \leftarrow 10^{-6}$, $\mu_{\max} \leftarrow 10^{10}$, $\mu \leftarrow 1$, $\Delta_0 \leftarrow 2$ and $\Delta \leftarrow \Delta_0$ 2: Initialize \bar{x}_k, \bar{u}_k as before 3: for i = 0 to a pre-specified number of iterations do $A_k, B_k, c_k, c_{x,k}, c_{u,k}, c_{xx,k}, c_{ux,k}, c_{uu,k} \leftarrow \text{Get-Derivatives}(\bar{x}_k, \bar{u}_k)$ 4: $L_k, l_k \leftarrow \text{BACKWARD-PASS}(A_k, B_k, c_k, c_{\tau,k}, c_{\mu,k}, c_{\tau\tau,k}, c_{\mu\tau,k}, c_{\mu\mu,k}, \mu)$ 5: 6: $J' \leftarrow \text{COST-OF-TRAJECTORY}(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_k, \bar{\boldsymbol{u}}_k)$ for $\alpha = 1$ to a very low value do 7: $\hat{x}_k, \hat{u}_k \leftarrow \text{Forward-Pass}(\bar{x}_k, \bar{u}_k, L_k, l_k, \alpha)$ 8: $J^{\text{new}} \leftarrow \text{COST-OF-TRAJECTORY}(\hat{x}_k, \hat{u}_k)$ 9: if $J^{\text{new}} < J'$ then 10. if $\frac{1}{T}|J^{\text{new}} - J'| < \text{a small number then}$ 11: Method has converged, terminate outer loop and return 12: end if 13: $I' \leftarrow I^{new}$ 14: 15 $\bar{x}_{l} \leftarrow \hat{x}_{l}$ and $\bar{u}_{l} \leftarrow \hat{u}_{l}$ α accepted: Update Δ and μ using eq. (17.19) ▷ Reduce regularization 16 Break loop over α 17: end if 18: end for 19: 20: if No α -value was accepted then 21: Update Δ and μ using eq. (17.18) Increase regularization end if 22. 23. end for 24: Compute controller $\{\pi_k\}_{k=0}^{N-1}$ as before from L_k, l_k D lecture_06_pendulum_ilqr_L lecture_06_pendulum_ilqr_ubar DTU Compute lecture_06_cartpole

Iterative LQR

Given $oldsymbol{x}_0$ and f_k , c_k , c_N ; initialize $\overline{oldsymbol{u}}_k$

- Simulate \overline{x}_k and compute matrices for linearized problem as well as cost $J_{\overline{u}}(\overline{x}_0)$
- Solve for $\delta oldsymbol{u}_k^*$ using regularization μ
- Loop over α starting at $\alpha=1$
 - Obtain controls $oldsymbol{u}_k^*$ with lpha (see [TET12, Eq.(12)])

$$\boldsymbol{u}_{k}^{*} = \overline{\boldsymbol{u}}_{k} + \alpha l_{k} + L_{k}(\boldsymbol{x}_{k} - \overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_{k})$$
(7)

- If cost $J_{{m u}^*}({m x}_0) < J_{\overline{{m u}}}(\overline{x}_0)$ accept $lpha/{
 m decrease}~\mu$
- (On failure to find α increase regularization μ)

Full iLQR: Pendulum swingup task



Pendulum starts at $\theta=\pi$ and $\dot{\theta}=0$ and controller tries to swing it up $\theta=0$



Basic iLQR Algorithm Example





iLQR Algorithm Example





Model Predictive Control







Model-predictive control/receding horizon control

Iteratively solve optimization problem on short time scale

- Long horizon equals great computation, uncertainty
- Solving problem on short horizon often sufficient
- 36 DTU Compute

Model Predictive Control



- Apply control \boldsymbol{u}_0 from first step
- Repeat



DTU

DTU Compute 37

Appendix: MPC can be understood as dynamical programmin

DP applied in the starting state (**optimal**):

$$J^{*}(x_{0}) = \min_{u_{0}} \mathbb{E} \left[J_{1}^{*}(x_{1}) + g_{0}(x_{0}, u_{0}, w_{0}) \right]$$

d-step rollout of DP (**optimal**):

$$J^{*}(x_{0}) = \min_{\mu_{0},...,\mu_{d-1}} \mathbb{E}\left[J^{*}_{d}(x_{k+d}) + \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} g_{k}(x_{k},\mu_{k}(x_{k}),w_{k})\right]$$

Deterministic simplification for control (optimal):

$$J^{*}(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}) = \min_{\boldsymbol{u}_{0},...,\boldsymbol{u}_{d-1}} \left[J^{*}_{d} \left(\boldsymbol{x}_{k+d} \right) + \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} c_{k} \left(\boldsymbol{x}_{k}, \boldsymbol{u}_{k} \right) \right]$$

- MPC: Approximate $J_d^*(\boldsymbol{x}_{k+d})$ and just plan on *d*-horizon
- Re-plan at each step

38 DTU Compute