02465: Introduction to reinforcement learning and control

Linear-quadratic problems in control

Tue Herlau

DTU Compute

DTU Compute, Technical University of Denmark (DTU)



Lecture Schedule

Dynamical programming

- **1** The finite-horizon decision problem 7 February
- 2 Dynamical Programming
 - 14 February
- OP reformulations and introduction to Control
 - 21 February

Control

- 4 Discretization and PID control 28 February
- Direct methods and control by optimization
 - 7 March
- 6 Linear-quadratic problems in

control

14 March

Linearization and iterative LQR

^{21 March} Syllabus: https://02465material.pages.compute.dtu.dk/02465public Help improve lecture by giving feedback on DTU learn

Reinforcement learning

- 8 Exploration and Bandits 28 March
- 9 Bellmans equations and exact planning 4 April
- Monte-carlo methods and TD learning 11 April
- Model-Free Control with tabular and linear methods

25 April

- Eligibility traces 2 May
- Deep-Q learning 9 May

Reading material:

• [Her25, Chapter 16]

Learning Objectives

- Linear-quadratic regulator (LQR)
- Derivation of the LQR from DP
- Applications and variations

Recap Practicals

- Project evaluations will be ready in about a week
- Part 2:
 - Less programming
 - A bit more emphasis on linear algebra; don't be afraid to write short answers if they are correct.
 - Be inspired by existing examples

Recap Useful linear algebra



• A matrix A is **positive semi-definite** if it is symmetric and $\boldsymbol{x}^{\top}A\boldsymbol{x} \geq 0$ for all \boldsymbol{x}

• This means A behaves like a positive number: $ax^2 \ge 0$.

• if A is a symmetric matrix then:

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^{T}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}^{T}\mathbf{x} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{b}\right)^{T}\mathbf{A}\left(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{b}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{b}^{T}\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{b}$$

• This allows us to quickly find minimum

Recap Recap: Dynamical programming algorithm

The Dynamical Programming algorithm

For every initial state x_0 , the optimal cost $J^*(x_0)$ is equal to $J_0(x_0)$, and optimal policy π^* is $\pi^* = \{\mu_0, \ldots, \mu_{N-1}\}$, computed by the following algorithm, which proceeds backward in time from k = N to k = 0 and for each $x_k \in S_k$ computes

$$J_N(x_N) = g_N(x_N) \tag{1}$$

$$J_{k}(x_{k}) = \min_{u_{k} \in \mathcal{A}_{k}(x_{k})} \mathbb{E}_{w_{k}} \{ g_{k}(x_{k}, u_{k}, w_{k}) + J_{k+1}(f_{k}(x_{k}, u_{k}, w_{k})) \}$$
(2)

 $\mu_k(x_k) = u_k^*$ (u_k^* is the u_k which minimizes the above expression). (3)

Recap Assumptions today



• For $k = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$

$$\begin{aligned} x_{k+1} &= f_k(x_k, u_k, w_k) = A_k x_k + B_k u_k, \\ g_k(x_k, u_k, w_k) &= \frac{1}{2} x_k^\top Q_k x_k + \frac{1}{2} u_k^\top R_k u_k, \\ g_N(x_k) &= \frac{1}{2} x_N^\top Q_N x_N \end{aligned}$$

• Note: This is not the most general case, but will illustrate the main ideas

Linear Quadratic Regulator Apply dynamical programming!

• Define $V_N \equiv Q_N$ and initialize:

$$J_{N}^{*}\left(oldsymbol{x}_{N}
ight)=rac{1}{2}oldsymbol{x}_{N}^{T}Q_{N}oldsymbol{x}_{N}=rac{1}{2}oldsymbol{x}_{N}^{T}V_{N}oldsymbol{x}_{N}$$

• DP iteration (start at k = N - 1)

$$J_{k}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{k}\right) = \min_{\boldsymbol{u}_{k}} \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{w_{k}} \left\{ g_{k}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{k}, \boldsymbol{u}_{k}, w_{k}\right) + J_{k+1}\left(f_{k}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{k}, \boldsymbol{u}_{k}, w_{k}\right)\right) \right\}$$

• Remember to store optimal u_k^* as $\pi_k(x_k) = u_k^*$

DP solution gives the controller:

 $V_N = Q_N$ $L_k = -(R_k + B_k^T V_{k+1} B_k)^{-1} (B_k^T V_{k+1} A_k)$ $V_k = Q_k + L_k^T R_k L_k + (A_k + B_k L_k)^T V_{k+1} (A_k + B_k L_k)$ $u_k^* = L_k x_k$ $J_k^*(x_k) = \frac{1}{2} x_k^T V_k x_k$

Linear Quadratic Regulator Double Integrator Example

• True dynamics

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1\\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{x}(t) + \begin{bmatrix} 0\\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{u}(t)$$
(4)

• Euler discretization using $\Delta = 1$ System evolves according to:

Cost function:

$$J(\boldsymbol{x}_0) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} \frac{1}{2\rho} x_{k,1}^2 + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{2} u_k^2$$

• Can be put into standard form using matrices/start position:

$$Q_k = Q_N = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\rho} & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad R = 1$$

Linear Quadratic Regulator **Exponential integrator**

• Apply discrete LQR

• Simulate starting in
$$m{x}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
 using policy $\pi_k(m{x}_k) = L_km{x}_k$

• What about the true system $\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}(t) = \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{u})$?



Linear Quadratic Regulator Double integrator example

- Blue: LQR using Euler $\boldsymbol{x}_{k+1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{x}_k + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{u}_k$
- Red: LQR using Exponential $m{x}_{k+1} = e^{A\Delta}m{x}_k + A^{-1}\left(e^{A\Delta} I\right)Bm{u}_k$



- LQR is optimal in discrete problem
- Discrete controller can be bad in real problem (always check!)
- Always use EI for linear dynamics

12 DTU Compute

DTU

Linear Quadratic Regulator Quiz: LQR

Consider a (generic) LQR problem of the form:

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{k+1} = A\boldsymbol{x}_k + B\boldsymbol{u}_k \tag{5}$$

$$\operatorname{cost} = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{x}_{k}^{\top} Q \boldsymbol{x}_{k} + \frac{1}{2} R_{0} \boldsymbol{u}_{k}^{\top} \boldsymbol{u}_{k}$$
(6)

Where $R_0 > 0$ is a constant. After LQR, the controller selects actions using $u_k = L_k x_k$. What do you think typically happens with the matrix L_k when $R_0 \rightarrow \infty$ (very big R_0)

- **a.** The entries in L_k becomes very small, negative numbers
- **b.** The entries in L_k becomes very big, positive numbers
- c. It is not possible to say anything about the typical case
- **d.** The entries in L_k gets closer to zero
- e. Don't know.

Linear Quadratic Regulator Example: The locomotive



Steer locomotive (starting at x = -1) to goal ($x^* = 0$)

$$\ddot{x}(t) = \frac{1}{m}u(t) \tag{7}$$

Can be re-written as:

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{x} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{1}{m} \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{u}$$
(8)

Discretized to $\boldsymbol{x}_{k+1} = A\boldsymbol{x}_k + B\boldsymbol{u}_k$.

14 DTU Compute

Lecture 6 14 March, 2025

Linear Quadratic Regulator Locomotive: PID and LQR



• Alternatively: Use a cost function $\sum_k x_k^\top Q x_k + u_k^\top u_k$ and use LQR!

Decture_04_pid_d.py

15 DTU Compute

Lecture 6 14 March, 2025

DTU

Linear Quadratic Regulator Planning on an infinite horizon

Recall LQR has the form:

- $V_N = Q_N$ $2 L_k = -(R_k + B_k^T V_{k+1} B_k)^{-1} (B_k^T V_{k+1} A_k)$ $3 V_k = Q_k + L_k^T R_k L_k + (A_k + B_k L_k)^T V_{k+1} (A_k + B_k L_k)$ $4 u_k^* = L_k x_k$ $5 J_k^* (x_k) = \frac{1}{2} x_k^T V_k x_k$
- What happens if we repeat step 2 and 3 many times?
- The method will converge: $L_k \rightarrow L$
 - Select actions $u_k = L x_k$ ("plan until convergence")
- If you think about it, this corresponds to planning on $N \to \infty$ horizon.
- This is quite popular in control theory; what we will do in RL.

Linear Quadratic Regulator **Observations**

- The cost term $rac{1}{2}m{x}^ op Qm{x} + rac{1}{2}m{u}^ op m{R}m{u}$ is smallest when $m{x} = m{u} = m{0}$
- Implies that LQR will control system to state $oldsymbol{x}=oldsymbol{u}=oldsymbol{0}$
- Suppose we want to drive system towards $oldsymbol{x}_g,oldsymbol{u}_g?$

• Use
$$c(x, u) = \frac{1}{2}(x - x_g)^T Q(x - x_g) + \frac{1}{2}(u - u_g)^T R(u - u_g)$$

more generally assume

$$c_k \left(\boldsymbol{x}_k, \boldsymbol{u}_k \right) = \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{x}_k^T Q_k \boldsymbol{x}_k + \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{u}_k^T R_k \boldsymbol{u}_k + \boldsymbol{u}_k^T H_k \boldsymbol{x}_k + \boldsymbol{q}_k^T \boldsymbol{x}_k + \boldsymbol{r}_k^T \boldsymbol{u}_k + q_k \quad (9)$$

$$c_N \left(\boldsymbol{x}_k \right) = \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{x}_k^T Q_N \boldsymbol{x}_k + \boldsymbol{q}_N^T \boldsymbol{x}_k + q_N \quad (10)$$

and dynamics

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{k+1} = A_k \boldsymbol{x}_k + B_k \boldsymbol{u}_k + \boldsymbol{d}_k$$

Linear Quadratic Regulator
General discrete LQR algorithm
1.
$$V_N = Q_N$$
; $v_N = q_N$; $v_N = q_N$
2. $L_k = -S_{uu,k}^{-1}S_{ux,k}$
 $l_k = -S_{uu,k}^{-1}S_{ux,k}$
 $l_k = -S_{uu,k}^{-1}S_{u,k}$
3. $V_k = Q_k + A_k^T V_{k+1}A_k - L_k^T S_{uu,k}L_k$
 $v_k = q_k + A_k^T (v_{k+1} + V_{k+1}d_k) + S_{ux,k}^T l_k$
 $v_k = v_{k+1} + q_k + d_k^T v_{k+1} + \frac{1}{2} d_k^T V_{k+1}d_k + \frac{1}{2} l_k^T S_{u,k}$
4. $u_k^* = l_k + L_k x_k$
5. $J_k(x_k) = \frac{1}{2} x_k^T V_k x_k + v_k^T x_k + v_k$.
How to start living in luxury and never work again!
 $\cdots (V_{k+1} + \mu I) \cdots$
 $S_{uv,k} = r_k + B_k^T v_{k+1} + B_k^T V_{k+1}d_k$
 $S_{uu,k} = R_k + B_k^T V_{k+1} B_k$
 $S_{uu,k} = R_k + B_k^T V_{k+1} A_k$.
 $V_k = Q_k + A_k^T (v_{k+1} + V_{k+1} d_k) + S_{ux,k}^T V_{k+1} A_k$.
Doctors hat this one weird trick!
 $V_k \leftarrow \frac{1}{2} (V_k^T + V_k)$.

Linear Quadratic Regulator Boing 747 Example



- y_1 and y_2 corresponds to the airspeed and climb rate.
- Start: x = 0 (steady flight)

fo Wantcomparespeed of 10:
$$m{y}^* = egin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Lecture 6 14 March, 2025

Linear Quadratic Regulator Approach



- Write dynamics as $\dot{\boldsymbol{x}} = A \boldsymbol{x} + B \boldsymbol{u}$
- Introduce cost function:

$$\int_0^{t_F} \left(\frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{y}^*)^\top (\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{y}^*) + \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{u}^\top \boldsymbol{u} \right) dt$$

- Discretize dynamics using Exponential Integration to get $m{x}_{k+1} = ar{A}m{x}_k + ar{B}m{u}_k$
- Discretize cost to get one of the form

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}rac{1}{2}oldsymbol{x}_k^{ op}Qoldsymbol{x}_k+oldsymbol{q}oldsymbol{x}_k+q_0+rac{1}{2}oldsymbol{u}_k^{ op}Roldsymbol{u}_k$$

Apply LQR!

Linear Quadratic Regulator Outcome and a Quiz



• Control law $\boldsymbol{u}_k = L \boldsymbol{x}_k$



Left: airspeed and climb rate. Right: Elevator and throttle Why does the output adjust quickly but fail to get entirely to the goal y^* ?

a. Something bad happened to the dynamics with the exponential integration

- b. The explanation has to do with planning on a finite horizon
- **c.** The explanation is that R in $\boldsymbol{u}_k^\top R \boldsymbol{u}_k$ should be bigger
- dı Don't know.

• Consider the case where there is additive Gaussian noise:

$$oldsymbol{x}_{k+1} = A_k oldsymbol{x}_k + B_k oldsymbol{u}_k + oldsymbol{\omega}_k$$

• We can still solve the problem, and (amazingly!) the noise has **no influence** on the control law

$$\boldsymbol{u}_k = L_k \boldsymbol{x}_k$$

• LQR is robust to noise

Linear Quadratic Regulator Much more to LQR

- Stability/controllability of LQR?
 - Important subject which we ignore
- What if matrices A_k , B_k are random?
 - This too can be solved[Ber05, Chapter 4]
- What about partial observation?
 - I.e. assume we observe $o_k = D_k x_k$ [Ber05, Chapter 4]
- What about constraints? What if we know $u_L \leq u_k \leq u_B$?
- Euler integration is often not ideal.
 - Alternatives including error analysis

D.P. Bertsekas.

Dynamic Programming and Optimal Control.

Number v. 1 in Athena Scientific optimization and computation series. Athena Scientific, 2005.

Tue Herlau.

Sequential decision making.

(Freely available online), 2025.