Tikhonov Regularization in General Form §8.1

To introduce a more general formulation, let us return to the continuous

formulation of the first-kind Fredholm integral equation.

In this setting, the residual norm for the generic problem is

$$R(f) = \left\| \int_0^1 K(s,t) f(t) dt - g(s) \right\|_2$$

In the same setting, we can introduce a *smoothing norm* S(f) that measures the regularity of the solution f. Common choices of S(f) belong to the family given by

$$S(f) = \|f^{(d)}\|_2 = \left(\int_0^1 (f^{(d)}(t))^2 dt\right)^{1/2}, \qquad d = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where $f^{(d)}$ denotes the *d*th derivative of *f*.

General Form Contd.

Then we can write the Tikhonov regularization problem for f in the form

$$\min\left\{R(f)^2 + \lambda^2 S(f)^2\right\},\tag{1}$$

where λ plays the same role as in the discrete setting.

The previous discrete Tikhonov formulation is merely a special version of this general Tikhonov problem with $S(f) = ||f||_2$.

We obtain a general version by replacing the norm $||x||_2$ with a discretization of the smoothing norm S(f), of the form $||Lx||_2$, where L is a discrete approximation of a derivative operator.

The Tikhonov regularization problem in general form is thus

$$\min_{x} \left\{ \|Ax - b\|_{2}^{2} + \lambda^{2} \|Lx\|_{2}^{2} \right\} .$$

The matrix *L* is $p \times n$ with no restrictions on the dimension *p*.

A Transformation to Standard Form



If L is invertible, such that L^{-1} exists, then the solution can be written as

$$x_{L,\lambda} = L^{-1} \bar{x}_{\lambda}$$

where \bar{x}_{λ} solves the standard-form Tikhonov problem

$$\min_{\bar{x}}\{\|(A L^{-1}) \bar{x} - b\|_2^2 + \lambda^2 \|\bar{x}\|_2^2\}.$$

The multiplication with L^{-1} in the back-transformation $x_{\lambda} = L^{-1}\bar{x}_{\lambda}$ represents integration, which yields additional smoothness in the Tikhonov solution, compared to L = I.

The same is also true for more general rectangular and non-invertible smoothing matrices L.

More About L



Similar to the standard-form problem obtained for L = I, the general-form Tikhonov solution $x_{L,\lambda}$ is the solution to a linear least-squares problem:

$$\min_{x} \left\| \begin{pmatrix} A \\ \lambda L \end{pmatrix} x - \begin{pmatrix} b \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{2}$$

The solution $x_{L,\lambda}$ is unique when the coefficient matrix has full rank, i.e., when the null spaces of A and L intersect trivially:

$$\mathcal{N}(A) \cap \mathcal{N}(L) = \emptyset.$$

Since multiplication with A represents a smoothing operation, it is unlikely that a smooth null vector of L (if L is rank deficient) is also a null vector of A.

Various choices of the matrix L are discussed in §8.2.

Common *L*'s



Two common choices of L are the rectangular matrices

$$L_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-1) \times n}$$
$$L_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 & 1 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & 1 & -2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-2) \times n}$$

which represent the first and second derivative operators.

In Reg. Tools use $get_l(n,1)$ and $get_l(n,2)$ to compute these matrices.

Thus, the discrete smoothing norm $||Lx||_2$, with *L* given by either *I*, *L*₁ or *L*₂, represents the continuous smoothing norms $S(f) = ||f||_2$, $||f'||_2$, and $||f''||_2$, respectively.

Let x be given as samples of a function, and let the right-hand side be given by a subset of these samples, e.g.,

$$b=Ax$$
 , $A=egin{pmatrix} I_{
m left} & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & I_{
m right} \end{pmatrix}$,

To illustrate the improved performance of the general-form formulation,

where I_{left} and I_{right} are two identity matrices.

consider a simple ill-posed problem with missing data.

The figure next page shows the solution x (consisting of samples of the sine function), as well as three reconstructions obtained with the three discrete smoothing norms $||x||_2$, $||L_1 x||_2$ and $||L_2 x||_2$.

The first choice is bad: the missing data are set to zero, in order to minimize the 2-norm of the solution. The choice $||L_1 x||_2$ produces a linear interpolation in the interval with missing data, while the choice $||L_2 x||_2$ produces a quadratic interpolation here.

Illustration

Illustration



Moving Away From the 2-Norm §8.6

Tikhonov is based on penalizing the 2-norm of the solution:

$$\min_{x} \left\{ \|Ax - b\|_{2}^{2} + \alpha^{2} \|x\|_{2}^{2} \right\}.$$

The same is true for TSVD, which can also be formulated as

min
$$||x||_2$$
 subject to $||A_k x - b||_2 = \min$, $A_k = \sum_{i=1}^k u_i \sigma_i v_i^T$.

It is the 2-norm penalization, together with the spectral properties of the SVD basis vectors, that cause a bad reconstruction of the edges = discontinuities.

It turns our that it is a better idea to involve the derivative of the solution and another norm!

So what is a good smoothing norm S(f)?

An Example Using a Continuous Function





Consider the piecewise linear function

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \le t < \frac{1}{2}(1-h) \\ \frac{t}{h} - \frac{1-h}{2h}, & \frac{1}{2}(1-h) \le t \le \frac{1}{2}(1+h) \\ 1, & \frac{1}{2}(1+h) < t \le 1 \end{cases}$$

which increases linearly from 0 to 1 in $\left[\frac{1}{2}(1-h), \frac{1}{2}(1+h)\right]$.

Norms of the First Derivative

DTU

It is easy to show that the 1- and 2-norms of f'(t) satisfy

$$\|f'\|_1 = \int_0^1 |f'(t)| \, dt = \int_0^h \frac{1}{h} \, dt = 1,$$

$$\|f'\|_2^2 = \int_0^1 f'(t)^2 \, dt = \int_0^h \frac{1}{h^2} \, dt = \frac{1}{h}.$$

Note that $||f'||_1$ is independent of the slope of the middle part of f(t), while $||f'||_2$ penalizes steep gradients (when *h* is small).

- The 2-norm of f'(t) will not allow any steep gradients and therefore it produces a smooth solution .
- The 1-norm, on the other hand, allows some steep gradients but not too many and it is therefore able to produce a less smooth solution, and even a discontinuous solution.

Total Variation (TV) Regularization

DTU

The example motivates us to replace Tikhonov's 2-norm with the 1-norm of the first derivative, which is known as the *total variation*.

In the discrete setting:

$$\min_{x} \left\{ \|Ax - b\|_{2}^{2} + \alpha^{2} \|Lx\|_{1} \right\},\$$

where

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-1) \times n}$$

such that $||Lx||_1$ approximates the total variation $||f'||_1$.

The figure on the next page shows a good TV reconstruction to the barcode problem.

Illustration



TV in 2D



In two dimensions, given a function $f(\mathbf{t})$ with $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, t_2)$, we use the gradient magnitude define as

$$|\nabla f| = \left(\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t_1} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t_2} \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

to obtain the 2D version of the total variation $\||\nabla f|\|_1$. The relevant norms of $f(\mathbf{t})$ are now

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| |\nabla f| \right\|_{1} &= \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} |\nabla f| \, dt_{1} \, dt_{2} = \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \left(\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t_{1}} \right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t_{2}} \right)^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \, dt_{1} \, dt_{2}, \\ \\ \left\| |\nabla f| \right\|_{2}^{2} &= \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} |\nabla f|^{2} \, dt_{1} \, dt_{2} = \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t_{1}} \right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t_{2}} \right)^{2} \, dt_{1} \, dt_{2}. \end{aligned}$$

-

An Example in 2D



To illustrate the difference between these two norms, consider a function $f(\mathbf{t})$ with the polar representation

$$f(r,\theta) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \le r < R \\ 1 + \frac{R}{h} - \frac{r}{h}, & R \le r \le R + h \\ 0, & R + h < r. \end{cases}$$

2D Example Continued

The function f is 1 inside the disk with radius r = R, zero outside the disk with radius r = R + h, and it has a linear radial slope between 0 and 1. In the area between these two disks the gradient magnitude is $|\nabla f| = 1/h$, and elsewhere it is zero.

$$\left\| |\nabla f| \right\|_{1} = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{R}^{R+h} \frac{1}{h} r \, dr \, d\theta = 2\pi R + \pi h$$
$$\left\| |\nabla f| \right\|_{2}^{2} = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{R}^{R+h} \frac{1}{h^{2}} r \, dr \, d\theta = \frac{2\pi R}{h} + \pi.$$

Similar to the one-dimensional example, we see that the total variation smoothing norm is almost independent of the size of the gradient, while the 2-norm penalizes steep gradients.

In fact, as $h \to 0$ we see that $\||\nabla f|\|_1$ converges to the circumference $2\pi R$.

Total Variation Image Deblurring Example





This example is from the paper:

J. Dahl, P. C. Hansen, S. H. Jensen, and T. L. Jensen, Algorithms and software for total variation image reconstruction via first-order methods, Numerical Algorithms, 53 (2010), pp. 67–92.

Total Variation Image Inpainting

Noisy and corrupted image

I wouldn't have seen it if I hado't believed it. - Marshall McLuhan TV inpainted image, τ = 0.85



The computational problem:

minimize
$$\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left\| \begin{pmatrix} X_{i+1,j} - X_{ij} \\ X_{i,j+1} - X_{ij} \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{2}$$
subject to $\| (X - B)_{\mathcal{I}} \|_{2} \le \delta$,

where $\ensuremath{\mathcal{I}}$ denotes the index set of corrupted pixels.

Total Variation Image Denoising (A = I)

Original clean image



TV denoised image, $\tau = 0.85$



Noisy image



TV denoised image, $\tau = 1.2$

