

Domain Science & Engineering

Lectures at BeiDa and ECNU¹

Dines Bjørner

DTU Informatics

November 17, 2012: 09:45

¹22–23.11.2012 and 6–7.12.2012

Schedule

Summary	1–8
● Lecture 1: A Domain Description Example	9–78
● Lecture 2: Domain Analysis: Meaning and Syntax	79–136
● Lecture 3: Domain Descriptions: Endurants	137–197
● Lecture 4: Domain Descriptions: Perdurants	198–261
Conclusion	262–267
● Lecture 5: Requirements Prescriptions	268–312

Summary

- By a domain entity we shall understand
 - ❖ *a manifest phenomenon, something that can be pointed to,*
 - ❖ *which has a form of*
 - ⊗ *either permanent character, that is, an endurant,*
 - ⊗ *or “fleeting” character, that is, a perdurant.*

Example: 1 Domain Entities. Example domain entities are

- ❖ a street segment (an endurant),
- ❖ insertion of a link (a perdurant).

- By a **quality** we shall understand
 - ❖ *a property, expressible as a proposition,*
 - ❖ *which is either satisfied by an entity or is not satisfied.*

Example: 2 Entity Qualities. Example entity qualities are

- ❖ length of a street segment (links): *has_length*,
- ❖ location of a street segments (links): *has_location*,
- ❖ identities of the street intersections connected to a link:
has_mereology,
- ❖ type of arguments of the insertion function: *has_argument*,
- ❖ type of result of the insertion function: *has_result*.

-
- By a domain we shall understand
 - ◇ *a set of domain entities,*
 - ◇ *a set of qualities and*
 - ◇ *a mapping of domain entities into qualities.*

- By domain analysis we shall understand
 - ❖ *the principled use of a set of techniques and tools*
 - ❖ *for identifying*
 - ⊗ *domain entities,*
 - ⊗ *qualities and*
 - ⊗ *mappings between them.*

-
- By domain description we shall understand
 - ❖ *the principled use of a set of techniques and tools*
 - ❖ *for describing, informally and formally*
 - ⊗ *domain entities,*
 - ⊗ *qualities and*
 - ⊗ *mappings between them.*

- In this seminar
 - ❖ we shall cover, in respective sections,
 - ❖ the techniques and tools of domain analysis and
 - ❖ the techniques and tools of domain description.

1. Lecture 1: An Example

- The main example presents a terse narrative and formalisation of a road traffic domain.
 - ⊗ Since the example description conceptually covers also major aspects of
 - ⊗ railroad nets,
 - ⊗ shipping nets, and
 - ⊗ air traffic nets,
 - ⊗ we shall use such terms as hubs and links to stand for
 - ⊗ road (or street) intersection and road (or street) segments,
 - ⊗ train stations and rail lines,
 - ⊗ harbours and shipping lanes, and
 - ⊗ airports and air lanes.

1.1. Parts

1.1.1. Root Sorts

- The domain,
 - ◇ the stepwise unfolding of
 - ◇ whose description is
 - ◇ to be exemplified,is that of a **composite traffic system**
 - ◇ with a road net,
 - ◇ with a fleet of vehicles
 - ◇ of whose individual position on the road net we can speak, that is, monitor.

1. We analyse the composite traffic system into
 - a a composite road net,
 - b a composite fleet (of vehicles), and
 - c an atomic monitor.

type

1. Δ
- 1a. N
- 1b. F
- 1c. M

value

- 1a. obs_N: $\Delta \rightarrow N$
- 1b. obs_F: $\Delta \rightarrow F$
- 1c. obs_M: $\Delta \rightarrow M$

1.1.2. Sub-domain Sorts and Types

2. From the road net we can observe

a a composite part, **HS**, of road (i.e., street) intersections (hubs)
and

b an composite part, **LS**, of road (i.e., street) segments (links).

type

2. HS, LS

value

2a. obs_HS: $N \rightarrow HS$

2b. obs_LS: $N \rightarrow LS$

3. From the fleet sub-domain, F , we observe a composite part, VS , of vehicles

type

3. VS

value

3. obs $_VS: F \rightarrow VS$

4. From the composite sub-domain VS we observe
- a the composite part Vs , which we concretise as a set of vehicles
 - b where vehicles, V , are considered atomic.

type

4a. $Vs = V\text{-set}$

4b. V

value

4a. obs $_Vs: VS \rightarrow V\text{-set}$

- The “monitor” is considered atomic; it is an abstraction of the fact that
 - ❖ we can speak of the positions of each and every vehicle on the net
 - ❖ without assuming that we can indeed pin point these positions
 - ❖ by means of for example sensors.

1.1.3. Further Sub-domain Sorts and Types

- We now analyse the sub-domains of **HS** and **LS**.
5. From the hubs aggregate we decide to observe
 - a the concrete type of a set of hubs,
 - b where hubs are considered atomic; and
 6. from the links aggregate we decide to observe
 - a the concrete type of a set of links,
 - b where links are considered atomic;

type

5a. $Hs = \mathbf{H\text{-set}}$

6a. $Ls = \mathbf{L\text{-set}}$

5b. H

6b. L

value

5. obs $_Hs: HS \rightarrow \mathbf{H\text{-set}}$

6. obs $_Ls: LS \rightarrow \mathbf{L\text{-set}}$

- We have no composite parts left to further analyse into parts
 - ⋄ whether they be again composite
 - ⋄ or atomic.
- That is,
 - ⋄ at various, what we shall refer to as, **domain indexes**
 - ⋄ we have discovered the following part types:

⊗ $\langle \Delta \rangle$:	N, F, M	⊗ $\langle \Delta, HS \rangle$:	Hs, H
⊗ $\langle \Delta, N \rangle$:	HS, LS	⊗ $\langle \Delta, LS \rangle$:	Ls, L
⊗ $\langle \Delta, F \rangle$:	VS	⊗ $\langle \Delta, VS \rangle$:	Vs, V
 - ⋄ Thus we have ended up with atomic parts.

1.2. Properties

- Parts are distinguished by their properties:
 - ❖ the types and
 - ❖ the valuesof these.
- We consider three kinds of properties:
 - ❖ unique identifiers,
 - ❖ mereology and
 - ❖ attributes.

1.2.1. Unique Identifications

7. We decide the following:

- a each hub has a unique hub identifier,
- b each link has a unique link identifier and
- c each vehicle has a unique vehicle identifier.

type

7a. HI

7b. LI

7c. VI

value

7a. uid_H: H \rightarrow HI

7b. uid_L: L \rightarrow LI

7c. uid_V: V \rightarrow VI

1.2.2. Mereology

1.2.2.1 Road Net Mereology

- By *mereology* we mean the study, knowledge and practice of understanding parts and part relations.
8. Each link is connected to exactly two hubs, that is,
 - a from each link we can observe its mereology, that is, the identities of these two distinct hubs,
 - b and these hubs must be of the net of the link;
 9. and each hub is connected to zero, one or more links, that is,
 - a from each hub we can observe its mereology, that is, the identities of these links,
 - b and these links must be of the net of the hub.

value

8a. mereo_L: L \rightarrow HI-set, axiom $\forall l:L \cdot \text{card } \underline{\text{mereo_L}}(l)=2$

axiom

8b. $\forall n:N, l:L, hi:HI \cdot l \in \underline{\text{obs_Ls}}(\underline{\text{obs_LS}}(n)) \wedge hi \in \underline{\text{mereo_L}}(l)$

8b. $\Rightarrow \exists h:H \cdot h \in \underline{\text{obs_Hs}}(\underline{\text{obs_HS}}(n)) \wedge \underline{\text{uid_H}}(h)=hi$

value

9a. mereo_H: H \rightarrow LI-set

axiom

9b. $\forall n:N, h:H, li:LI \cdot h \in \underline{\text{obs_Hs}}(\underline{\text{obs_HS}}(n)) \wedge li \in \underline{\text{mereo_H}}(h)$

9b. $\Rightarrow \exists l:L \cdot l \in \underline{\text{obs_Ls}}(\underline{\text{obs_LS}}(n)) \wedge \underline{\text{uid_L}}(l)=li$

1.2.2.2 Fleet of Vehicles Mereology

- In the traffic system that we are building up
 - ❖ there are no relations to be expressed between vehicles,
 - ❖ only between vehicles and the (single and only) monitor.
- Thus there is no mereology needed for vehicles.

1.2.3. Attributes

- We shall model attributes of
 - ❖ links,
 - ❖ hubs and
 - ❖ vehicles.
- The composite parts,
 - ❖ aggregations of hubs, **HS** and **Hs**,
 - ❖ aggregations of links, **LS** and **Ls** and
 - ❖ aggregations of vehicles, **VS** and **Vs**,also have attributes, but we shall omit modelling them here.

1.2.3.1 Attributes of Links

10. The following are attributes of links.

a Link states, $\mathcal{l}\sigma:\mathcal{L}\Sigma$, which we model as possibly empty sets of pairs of distinct identifiers of the connected hubs.

- A link state expresses the directions that are open to traffic across a link.

b Link state spaces, $\mathcal{l}\omega:\mathcal{L}\Omega$ which we model as the set of link states.

- A link state space expresses the states that a link may attain across time.

c Further link attributes are length, location, etcetera.

- Link states are usually dynamic attributes

- whereas

- ◇ link state spaces,

- ◇ link length and

- ◇ link location (usually some curvature rendition)

are considered static attributes.

type

10a. $L\Sigma = (HI \times HI)\text{-set}$

axiom

10a. $\forall l\sigma:L\Sigma \cdot 0 \leq \mathbf{card} \ l\sigma \leq 2$

value

10a. $\underline{\mathbf{attr_L\Sigma}}: L \rightarrow L\Sigma$

axiom

10a. $\forall l:L \cdot \mathbf{let} \ \{hi,hi'\}=\underline{\mathbf{mereo_L}}(l) \ \mathbf{in} \ \underline{\mathbf{attr_L\Sigma}}(l) \subseteq \{(hi,hi'),(hi',hi)\} \ \mathbf{end}$

type

10b. $L\Omega = L\Sigma\text{-set}$

value

10b. $\underline{\mathbf{attr_L\Omega}}: L \rightarrow L\Omega$

axiom

10b. $\forall l:L \cdot \mathbf{let} \ \{hi,hi'\}=\underline{\mathbf{mereo_L}}(l) \ \mathbf{in} \ \underline{\mathbf{attr_L\Sigma}}(l) \in \underline{\mathbf{attr_L\Omega}}(l) \ \mathbf{end}$

type

10c. LOC, LEN, ...

value

10c. $\underline{\mathbf{attr_LOC}}: L \rightarrow \text{LOC}, \ \underline{\mathbf{attr_LEN}}: L \rightarrow \text{LEN}, \ \dots$

1.2.3.2 Attributes of Hubs

11. The following are attributes of hubs:

a Hub states, $\mathbf{h}\sigma:\mathbf{H}\Sigma$, which we model as possibly empty sets of pairs of identifiers of the connected links.

- A hub state expresses the directions that are open to traffic across a hub.

b Hub state spaces, $\mathbf{h}\omega:\mathbf{H}\Omega$ which we model as the set of hub states.

- A hub state space expresses the states that a hub may attain across time.

c Further hub attributes are location, etcetera.

- Hub states are usually dynamic attributes

- whereas

- ◇ hub state spaces and

- ◇ hub location

are considered static attributes.

type

11a. $H\Sigma = (LI \times LI)$ -set

value

11a. $\underline{\text{attr}}_{H\Sigma}: H \rightarrow H\Sigma$

axiom

11a. $\forall h:H \cdot \underline{\text{attr}}_{H\Sigma}(h) \subseteq \{(li,li') \mid li,li':LI \cdot \{li,li'\} \subseteq \underline{\text{mereo}}_H(h)\}$

type

11b. $H\Omega = H\Sigma$ -set

value

11b. $\underline{\text{attr}}_{H\Omega}: H \rightarrow H\Omega$

axiom

11b. $\forall h:H \cdot \underline{\text{attr}}_{H\Sigma}(h) \in \underline{\text{attr}}_{H\Omega}(h)$

type

11c. LOC, ...

value

11c. $\underline{\text{attr}}_{\text{LOC}}: L \rightarrow \text{LOC}, \dots$

1.2.3.3 Attributes of Vehicles

12. Dynamic attributes of vehicles include

a position

- i. at a hub (about to enter the hub — referred to by the link it is coming from, the hub it is at and the link it is going to, all referred to by their unique identifiers or
- ii. some fraction “down” a link (moving in the direction from a from hub to a to hub — referred to by their unique identifiers)
- iii. where we model fraction as a real between 0 and 1 included.

b velocity, acceleration, etcetera.

13. All these vehicle attributes can be observed.

type

108a. $VP = atH \mid onL$

108(a)i. $atH :: fli:LI \times hi:HI \times tli:LI$

108(a)ii. $onL :: fhi:HI \times li:LI \times frac:FRAC \times thi:HI$

108(a)iii. $FRAC = \mathbf{Real}$, **axiom** $\forall frac:FRAC \cdot 0 \leq frac \leq 1$

108b. VEL, ACC, \dots

value

13. $\underline{attr_VP}:V \rightarrow VP$, $\underline{attr_onL}:V \rightarrow onL$, $\underline{attr_atH}:V \rightarrow atH$

13. $\underline{attr_VEL}:V \rightarrow VEL$, $\underline{attr_ACC}:V \rightarrow ACC$

1.2.3.4 Vehicle Positions

14. Given a net, $n:\mathbf{N}$, we can define the possibly infinite set of potential vehicle positions on that net, $vps(n)$.

a $vps(n)$ is expressed in terms of the links and hubs of the net.

b $vps(n)$ is the

c union of two sets:

i. the potentially² infinite set of “on link” positions

ii. for all links of the net

and

i. the finite set of “at hub” positions

ii. for all hubs in the net.

²The ‘potentiality’ arises from the nature of **FRAC**. If fractions are chosen as, for example, 1/5’th, 2/5’th, ..., 4/5’th, then there are only a finite number of “on link” vehicle positions. If instead fraction are arbitrary infinitesimal quantities, then there are infinitely many such.

value

14. vps: $N \rightarrow VP\text{-infset}$

14b. $vps(n) \equiv$

14a. **let** $ls = \underline{obs_Ls}(\underline{obs_LS}(n))$, $hs = \underline{obs_Hs}(\underline{obs_HS}(n))$ **in**

14(c)i. $\{ \text{onL}(fhi, \text{uid}(l), f, thi) \mid fhi, thi:HI, l:L, f:FRAC \}$

14(c)ii. $l \in ls \wedge \{fhi, thi\} = \underline{mereo_L}(l) \}$

14c. \cup

14(c)i. $\{ \text{atH}(fli, \underline{uid_H}(h), tli) \mid fli, tli:LI, h:H \}$

14(c)ii. $h \in hs \wedge \{fli, tli\} \subseteq \underline{mereo_H}(h) \}$

14a. **end**

- Given a net and a finite set of vehicles
 - ✧ we can distribute these over the net, i.e., assign initial vehicle positions,
 - ✧ so that no two vehicles “occupy” the same position, i.e., are “crashed” !
 - Let us call the non-deterministic assignment function, i.e., a relation, for **vpr**.
15. **vpm:VPM** is a bijective map from vehicle identifiers to (distinct) vehicle positions.
 16. **vpr** has the obvious signature.
 17. **vpr(vs)(n)** is defined in terms of
 18. a non-deterministic selection, **vpa**, of vehicle positions, and
 19. a non-deterministic assignment of these vehicle positions to vehicle identifiers —
 20. being the resulting distribution.

type

15. $VPM' = VI \xrightarrow{m} VP$

15. $VPM = \{ | vpm:VPM' \cdot \mathbf{card\ dom\ vpm} = \mathbf{card\ rng\ vpm} | \}$

value

16. $vpr: V\text{-set} \times N \rightarrow VMP$

17. $vpr(vs)(n) \equiv$

18. **let** $vpa:VP\text{-set} \cdot vpa \subseteq vps(vs)(n) \wedge \mathbf{card\ vpa} = \mathbf{vard\ vs}$ **in**

19. **let** $vpm:VPM \cdot \mathbf{dom\ vpm} = vps \wedge \mathbf{rng\ vpm} = vpa$ **in**

20. vpm **end end**

1.3. Definitions of Auxiliary Functions

21. From a net we can extract all its link identifiers.

22. From a net we can extract all its hub identifiers.

value

21. $\text{xtr_LIs}: N \rightarrow \text{LI-set}$

21. $\text{xtr_LIs}(n) \equiv \{\underline{\text{uid_L}}(l) \mid l:L \cdot l \in \underline{\text{obs_Ls}}(\underline{\text{obs_LS}}(n))\}$

22. $\text{xtr_HIs}: N \rightarrow \text{HI-set}$

22. $\text{xtr_HIs}(n) \equiv \{\underline{\text{uid_H}}(l) \mid h:H \cdot h \in \underline{\text{obs_Hs}}(\underline{\text{obs_HS}}(n))\}$

23. Given a link identifier and a net get the link with that identifier in the net.

24. Given a hub identifier and a net get the hub with that identifier in the net.

value

$$26. \quad \text{get_H}: \text{HI} \rightarrow \text{N} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{H}$$

$$26. \quad \text{get_H}(\text{hi})(n) \equiv \iota h:\text{H} \cdot h \in \underline{\text{obs_Hs}}(\underline{\text{obs_HS}}(n)) \wedge \underline{\text{uid_H}}(h) = \text{hi}$$

$$26. \quad \text{pre: hi} \in \text{xtr_HIs}(n)$$

$$26a. \quad \text{get_L}: \text{LI} \rightarrow \text{N} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{L}$$

$$26a. \quad \text{get_L}(\text{li})(n) \equiv \iota l:\text{L} \cdot l \in \underline{\text{obs_Ls}}(\underline{\text{obs_LS}}(n)) \wedge \underline{\text{uid_L}}(l) = \text{li}$$

$$26a. \quad \text{pre: hl} \in \text{xtr_LIs}(n)$$

- The $\iota a:A \cdot \mathcal{P}(a)$ expression

- ◇ yields the unique value $a:A$

- ◇ which satisfies the predicate $\mathcal{P}(a)$.

- ◇ If none, or more than one exists then the function is undefined.

1.4. Some Derived Traffic System Concepts

1.4.1. Maps

25. A road map is an abstraction of a road net. We define one model of maps below.

a A road map, RM , is a finite definition set function, M , (a specification language map) from

- hub identifiers (the source hub)
- to (such finite definition set) functions
- from link identifiers
- to hub identifiers (the target hub).

type

25a. $RM' = HI \xrightarrow{m} (LI \xrightarrow{m} HI)$

- If a hub identifier in the source or an $rm:RM$ maps into the empty map then the “corresponding” hub is “isolated”: has no links emanating from it.

26. These road maps are subject to a well-formedness criterion.
- a The target hubs must be defined also as source hubs.
 - b If a link is defined from source hub (referred to by its identifier) **shi** via link **li** to a target hub **thi**, then, vice versa, link **li** is also defined from source **thi** to target **shi**.

type

$$26. \text{ RM} = \{ | \text{rm} : \text{RM}' \cdot \text{wf_RM}(\text{rm}) \ | \}$$

value

$$26. \text{ wf_RM} : \text{RM}' \rightarrow \mathbf{Bool}$$

$$26. \text{ wf_RM}(\text{rm}) \equiv$$

$$26a. \quad \cup \{ \mathbf{rng}(\text{rm}(\text{hi})) \mid \text{hi} : \text{HI} \cdot \text{hi} \in \mathbf{dom} \text{ rm} \} \subseteq \mathbf{dom} \text{ rm}$$

$$26b. \quad \wedge \forall \text{shi} : \text{HI} \cdot \text{shi} \in \mathbf{dom} \text{ rm} \Rightarrow$$

$$26b. \quad \forall \text{li} : \text{LI} \cdot \text{li} \in \mathbf{dom} \text{ rm}(\text{shi}) \Rightarrow$$

$$26b. \quad \text{li} \in \mathbf{dom} \text{ rm}((\text{rm}(\text{shi}))(\text{li})) \wedge (\text{rm}((\text{rm}(\text{shi}))(\text{li}))) (\text{li}) = \text{shi}$$

27. Given a road net, n , one can derive “its” road map.

a Let hs and ls be the hubs and links, respectively of the net n .

b Every hub with no links emanating from it is mapped into the empty map.

c For every link identifier $uid_L(l)$ of links, l , of ls and every hub identifier, hi , in the mereology of l

d hi is mapped into a map from $uid_L(l)$ into hi'

e where hi' is the other hub identifier of the mereology of l .

value

27. $\text{derive_RM}: N \rightarrow \text{RM}$

27. $\text{derive_RM}(n) \equiv$

27a. **let** $hs = \underline{\text{obs_Hs}}(\underline{\text{obs_HS}}(n)), ls = \underline{\text{obs_Ls}}(\underline{\text{obs_LS}}(n))$ **in**

27b. $[hi \mapsto [] \mid hi:HI \cdot \exists h:H \cdot h \in hs \wedge \underline{\text{mereo_H}}(h) = \{\}] \cup$

27d. $[hi \mapsto [\underline{\text{uid_L}}(l) \mapsto hi'$

27e. $\mid hi':HI \cdot hi' = \underline{\text{mereo_L}}(l) \setminus \{hi\}]$

27c. $\mid l:L, hi:HI \cdot l \in ls \wedge hi \in \underline{\text{mereo_L}}(l)]$ **end**

- **Theorem:** If the road net, n , is well-formed then $\text{wf_RM}(\text{derive_RM}(n))$.

1.4.2. Traffic Routes

28. A traffic route, \mathbf{tr} , is an alternating sequence of hub and link identifiers such that

a $\mathbf{li}:\mathbf{LI}$ is in the mereology of the hub, $\mathbf{h}:\mathbf{H}$, identified by $\mathbf{hi}:\mathbf{HI}$, the predecessor of $\mathbf{li}:\mathbf{LI}$ in route \mathbf{r} , and

b $\mathbf{hi}':\mathbf{HI}$, which follows $\mathbf{li}:\mathbf{LI}$ in route \mathbf{r} , is different from \mathbf{hi} , and is in the mereology of the link identified by \mathbf{li} .

type

28. $R' = (\mathbf{HI}|\mathbf{LI})^*$

28. $R = \{ | r:R' \cdot \exists n:\mathbf{N} \cdot \text{wf}_R(r)(n) \}$

value

28. $\text{wf}_R: R' \rightarrow \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{Bool}$

28. $\text{wf}_R(r)(n) \equiv$

28. $\forall i:\mathbf{Nat} \cdot \{i,i+1\} \subseteq \mathbf{inds} \ r \Rightarrow$

28a. $\underline{\mathbf{is_HI}}(r(i)) \Rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{is_LI}}(r(i+1)) \wedge r(i+1) \in \underline{\mathbf{mereo_H}}(\text{get_H}(r(i))(n)),$

28b. $\underline{\mathbf{is_LI}}(r(i)) \Rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{is_HI}}(r(i+1)) \wedge r(i+1) \in \underline{\mathbf{mereo_L}}(\text{get_L}(r(i))(n))$

29. From a well-formed road map (i.e., a road net) we can generate the possibly infinite set of all routes through the net.

a Basis Clauses:

- i. The empty sequence of identifiers is a route.
- ii. The one element sequences of link and hub identifiers of links and hubs of a road map (i.e., a road net) are routes.
- iii. If hi maps into some li in rm then $\langle hi, li \rangle$ and $\langle li, hi \rangle$ are routes of the road map (i.e., of the road net).

b Induction Clause:

- i. Let $r \hat{\langle i \rangle}$ and $\langle i' \rangle \hat{r}'$ be two routes of the road map.
- ii. If the identifiers i and i' are identical, then $r \hat{\langle i \rangle} \hat{r}'$ is a route.

c Extremal Clause:

- i. Only such routes that can be formed from a finite number of applications of the above clauses are routes.

value

29. $\text{gen_routes}: M \rightarrow \text{Routes-}\mathbf{infset}$

29. $\text{gen_routes}(m) \equiv$

29(a)i. **let** $rs = \{\langle \rangle\}$

29(a)ii. $\cup \{\langle li, hi \rangle, \langle hi, li \rangle \mid li:LI, hi:HI \dots\}$

29(b)i. $\cup \{\mathbf{let} \ r \hat{\langle li \rangle}, \langle li' \rangle \hat{r'}:R \cdot \{r \hat{\langle li \rangle}, \langle li' \rangle \hat{r'}\} \subseteq rs,$

29(b)i. $\quad r'' \hat{\langle hi \rangle}, \langle hi' \rangle \hat{r'''}:R \cdot \{r'' \hat{\langle hi \rangle}, \langle hi' \rangle \hat{r'''}\} \subseteq rs \ \mathbf{in}$

29(b)ii. $r \hat{\langle li \rangle} \hat{r'}, r'' \hat{\langle hi \rangle} \hat{r'''} \ \mathbf{end} \ \mathbf{in}$

29(c)i. $rs \ \mathbf{end}$

1.4.2.1 Circular Routes

30. A route is circular if the same identifier occurs more than once.

value

30. $\text{is_circular_route}: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{Bool}$

30. $\text{is_circular_route}(r) \equiv \exists i, j: \mathbf{Nat} \cdot \{i, j\} \subseteq \mathbf{inds} \ r \wedge i \neq j \Rightarrow r(i) = r(j)$

1.4.2.2 Connected Road Nets

31. A road net is connected if there is a route from any hub (or any link) to any other hub or link in the net.

31. $\text{is_conn_N}: N \rightarrow \mathbf{Bool}$

31. $\text{is_conn_N}(n) \equiv$

31. **let** $m = \text{derive_RM}(n)$ **in**

31. **let** $rs = \text{gen_routes}(m)$ **in**

31. $\forall i, i': (LI|HI) \cdot \{i, i'\} \subseteq \text{xtr_LIs}(n) \cup \text{xtr_HIs}(n)$

31. $\exists r: R \cdot r \in rs \wedge r(1)=i \wedge r(\mathbf{len} \ r)=i'$ **end end**

1.4.2.3 Set of Connected Nets of a Net

32. The set, **cns**, of connected nets of a net, **n**, is
 a the smallest set of connected nets, **cns**,
 b whose hubs and links together “span” those of the net **n**.

value

32. **conn_Ns**: $N \rightarrow N\text{-set}$

32. **conn_Ns**(**n**) **as** **cns**

32a. **pre**: **true**

32b. **post**: **conn_spans_HsLs**(**n**)(**cns**)

32a. $\wedge \sim \exists \text{kns}: N\text{-set} \cdot \mathbf{card} \text{kns} < \mathbf{card} \text{cns}$

32a. $\wedge \text{conn_spans_HsLs}(n)(\text{kns})$

32b. $\text{conn_spans_HsLs}: N \rightarrow N \rightarrow \mathbf{Bool}$

32b. $\text{conn_spans_HsLs}(n)(\text{cns}) \equiv$

32b. $\forall \text{cn}:N \cdot \text{cn} \in \text{cns} \Rightarrow \text{is_connected_N}(n)(\text{cn})$

32b. $\wedge \mathbf{let} (hs,ls) = (\underline{\text{obs_Hs}}(\underline{\text{obs_HS}}(n)), \underline{\text{obs_Ls}}(\underline{\text{obs_LS}}(n))),$

32b. $\text{chs} = \cup \{ \underline{\text{obs_Hs}}(\underline{\text{obs_HS}}(\text{cn})) \mid \text{cn} \in \text{cns} \},$

32b. $\text{cls} = \cup \{ \underline{\text{obs_Ls}}(\underline{\text{obs_LS}}(\text{cn})) \mid \text{cn} \in \text{cns} \} \mathbf{in}$

32b. $hs = \text{chs} \wedge ls = \text{cls} \mathbf{end}$

1.4.2.4 Route Length

33. The length attributes of links can be

a added and subtracted,

b multiplied by reals to obtain lengths,

c divided to obtain fractions,

d compared as to whether one is shorter than another, etc., and

e there is a “zero length” designator.

value

$$33a. \quad +, - : \text{LEN} \times \text{LEN} \rightarrow \text{LEN}$$

$$33b. \quad * : \text{LEN} \times \mathbf{Real} \rightarrow \text{LEN}$$

$$33c. \quad / : \text{LEN} \times \text{LEN} \rightarrow \mathbf{Real}$$

$$33d. \quad <, \leq, =, \neq, \geq, > : \text{LEN} \times \text{LEN} \rightarrow \mathbf{Bool}$$

$$33e. \quad \ell_0 : \text{LEN}$$

34. One can calculate the length of a route.

value

34. length: $R \rightarrow N \rightarrow \text{LEN}$

34. length(r)(n) \equiv

34. **case** r **of**:

34. $\langle \rangle \rightarrow \ell_0,$

34. $\langle \text{si} \rangle^{\wedge} r' \rightarrow$

34. $\text{is_LI}(\text{si}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{attr_LEN}}(\text{get_L}(\text{si})(n)) + \text{length}(r')(n)$

34. $\text{is_HI}(\text{si}) \rightarrow \text{length}(r')(n)$

34. **end**

1.4.2.5 Shortest Routes

35. There is a predicate, is_R , which,
 a given a net and two distinct hub identifiers of the net,
 b tests whether there is a route between these.

value

35. $\text{is_R}: N \rightarrow (HI \times HI) \rightarrow \mathbf{Bool}$

35. $\text{is_R}(n)(fhi, thi) \equiv$

35a. $fhi \neq thi \wedge \{fht, thi\} \subseteq \text{xtr_HIs}(n)$

35b. $\wedge \exists r:R \cdot r \in \text{routes}(n) \wedge \mathbf{hd} \ r = fhi \wedge r(\mathbf{len} \ r) = thi$

36. The shortest between two given hub identifiers

a is an acyclic route, r ,

b whose first and last elements are the two given hub identifiers

c and such that there is no route, r' which is shorter.

value

36. $\text{shortest_route}: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow (\text{HI} \times \text{HI}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

36a. $\text{shortest_route}(n)(\text{fhi}, \text{thi})$ **as** r

36b. **pre:** $\text{pre_shortest_route}(n)(\text{fhi}, \text{thi})$

36c. **post:** $\text{pos_shortest_route}(n)(r)(\text{fhi}, \text{thi})$

36b. $\text{pre_shortest_route}: \mathbf{N} \rightarrow (\mathbf{HI} \times \mathbf{HI}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Bool}$

36b. $\text{pre_shortest_route}(n)(f_{hi}, t_{hi}) \equiv$

36b. $\text{is_R}(n)(f_{hi}, t_{hi}) \wedge f_{hi} \neq t_{hi} \wedge \{f_{hi}, t_{hi}\} \subset \text{xtr_HIs}(n)$

36c. $\text{pos_shortest_route}: \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \rightarrow (\mathbf{HI} \times \mathbf{HI}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Bool}$

36c. $\text{pos_shortest_route}(n)(r)(f_{hi}, t_{hi}) \equiv$

36c. $r \in \text{routes}(n)$

36c. $\wedge \sim \exists r': \mathbf{R} \cdot r' \in \text{routes}(n) \wedge \text{length}(r') < \text{length}(r)$

1.5. States

- There are different notions of state. In our example these are some of the states:
 - ◇ the road net composition of hubs and links;
 - ◇ the state of a link, or a hub; and
 - ◇ the vehicle position.

1.6. Actions

- An action is what happens when a function invocation changes, or potentially changes a state.
- Examples of traffic system actions are:
 - ❖ insertion of hubs,
 - ❖ insertion of links,
 - ❖ removal of hubs,
 - ❖ removal of links,
 - ❖ setting of hub state ($h\sigma$),
 - ❖ setting of link state ($l\sigma$),
 - ❖ moving a vehicle along a link,
 - ❖ moving a vehicle from a link to a hub and
 - ❖ moving a vehicle from a hub to a link.

37. The **insert** action applies to a net and a hub and conditionally yields an updated net.

a The condition is that there must not be a hub in the “argument” net with the same unique hub identifier as that of the hub to be inserted and

b the hub to be inserted does not initially designate links with which it is to be connected.

c The updated net contains all the hubs of the initial net “plus” the new hub.

d and the same links.

value

$$83. \text{ ins_H}: N \rightarrow H \xrightarrow{\sim} N$$

$$83. \text{ ins_H}(n)(h) \text{ as } n', \text{ pre: pre_ins_H}(n)(h), \text{ post: post_ins_H}(n)(h)$$

$$83a. \text{ pre_ins_H}(n)(h) \equiv$$

$$83a. \quad \sim \exists h':H \cdot h' \in \underline{\text{obs_Hs}}(n) \wedge \underline{\text{uid_HI}}(h) = \underline{\text{uid_HI}}(h')$$

$$83b. \quad \wedge \underline{\text{mereo_H}}(h) = \{\}$$

$$83c. \text{ post_ins_H}(n)(h)(n') \equiv$$

$$83c. \quad \underline{\text{obs_Hs}}(n) \cup \{h\} = \underline{\text{obs_Hs}}(n')$$

$$83d. \quad \wedge \underline{\text{obs_Ls}}(n) = \underline{\text{obs_Ls}}(n')$$

1.7. Events

- By an **event** we understand
 - ◇ a state change
 - ◇ resulting indirectly from an unexpected application of a function,
 - ◇ that is, that function was performed “surreptitiously”.
- Events can be characterised by a pair of (before and after) states, a predicate over these and, optionally, a **time** or **time interval**.
- Events are thus like actions:
 - ◇ change states,
 - ◇ but are usually
 - ⊗ either caused by “previous” actions,
 - ⊗ or caused by “an outside action”.

38. Link disappearance is expressed as a predicate on the “before” and “after” states of the net. The predicate identifies the “missing” link (!).

39. Before the disappearance of link ℓ in net n

a the hubs h' and h'' connected to link ℓ

b were connected to links identified by $\{l'_1, l'_2, \dots, l'_p\}$ respectively $\{l''_1, l''_2, \dots, l''_q\}$

c where, for example, l'_i, l''_j are the same and equal to $\text{uid}_\Pi(\ell)$.

84. $\text{link_dis}: \mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{Bool}$

84. $\text{link_dis}(n, n') \equiv$

84. $\exists \ell: \mathbf{L} \cdot \text{pre_link_dis}(n, \ell) \Rightarrow \text{post_link_dis}(n, \ell, n')$

85. $\text{pre_link_dis}: \mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{L} \rightarrow \mathbf{Bool}$

85. $\text{pre_link_dis}(n, \ell) \equiv \ell \in \underline{\text{obs_Ls}}(n)$

40. After link ℓ disappearance there are instead

a two separate links, ℓ_i and ℓ_j , “truncations” of ℓ

b and two new hubs h''' and h''''

c such that ℓ_i connects h' and h''' and

d ℓ_j connects h'' and h'''' ;

e Existing hubs h' and h'' now have mereology

i. $\{l'_1, l'_2, \dots, l'_p\} \setminus \{\text{uid}_\Pi(\ell)\} \cup \{\text{uid}_\Pi(\ell_i)\}$ respectively

ii. $\{l''_1, l''_2, \dots, l''_q\} \setminus \{\text{uid}_\Pi(\ell)\} \cup \{\text{uid}_\Pi(\ell_j)\}$

41. All other hubs and links of n are unaffected.

42. We shall “explain” *link disappearance* as the combined, instantaneous effect of

a first a **remove link** “event” where the **removed link** connected **hubs** h_j and h_k ;

b then the **insertion** of two new, “fresh” **hubs**, h_α and h_β ;

c “followed” by the **insertion** of two new, “fresh” links $l_{j\alpha}$ and $l_{k\beta}$ such that

i. $l_{j\alpha}$ connects h_j and h_α and

ii. $l_{k\beta}$ connects h_k and h_β

value

88. $\text{post_link_dis}(n, \ell, n') \equiv$

88. **let** $h_a, h_b: H \cdot$

88. **let** $\{li_a, li_b\} = \underline{\text{mereo_L}}(\ell)$ **in**

88. $(\text{get_H}(li_a)(n), \text{get_H}(li_b)(n))$ **end in**

88a. **let** $n'' = \text{rem_L}(n)(\underline{\text{uid_L}}(\ell))$ **in**

88b. **let** $h_\alpha, h_\beta: H \cdot \{h_\alpha, h_\beta\} \cap \underline{\text{obs_Hs}}(n) = \{\}$ **in**

88b. **let** $n''' = \text{ins_H}(n'')(h_\alpha)$ **in**

88b. **let** $n'''' = \text{ins_H}(n''')(h_\beta)$ **in**

88c. **let** $l_{j\alpha}, l_{k\beta}: L \cdot \{l_{j\alpha}, l_{k\beta}\} \cap \underline{\text{obs_Ls}}(n) = \{\}$

88c. $\wedge \underline{\text{mereo_L}}(l_{j\alpha}) = \{\underline{\text{uid_H}}(h_a), \underline{\text{uid_H}}(h_\alpha)\}$

88c. $\wedge \underline{\text{mereo_L}}(l_{k\beta}) = \{\underline{\text{uid_H}}(h_b), \underline{\text{uid_H}}(h_\beta)\}$ **in**

88(c)i. **let** $n'''''' = \text{ins_L}(n''''')(l_{j\alpha})$ **in**

88(c)ii. $n' = \text{ins_L}(n''''''')(l_{k\beta})$ **end end end end end end end**

1.8. Behaviours

1.8.1. Traffic

1.8.1.1 Continuous Traffic

- For the road traffic system
 - ❖ perhaps the most significant example of a behaviour
 - ❖ is that of its traffic
 43. the continuous time varying discrete positions of vehicles, $vp:VP^3$,
 44. where time is taken as a dense set of points.

type

90. $c\mathbb{T}$

89. $cRTF = c\mathbb{T} \rightarrow (V \xrightarrow{m} VP)$

³For VP see Item 108a on Slide 243.

1.8.1.2 Discrete Traffic

- We shall model, not continuous time varying traffic, but
 45. discrete time varying discrete positions of vehicles,
 46. where time can be considered a set of linearly ordered points.
 92. dT
 91. $dRTF = dT \vec{m} (V \vec{m} VP)$
 47. The road traffic that we shall model is, however, of vehicles referred to by their unique identifiers.

type

$$93. RTF = dT \vec{m} (VI \vec{m} VP)$$

1.8.1.3 Time: An Aside

- We shall take a rather simplistic view of time
[wayne.d.blizard.90,mctaggart-t0,prior68,J.van.Benthem.Log
48. We consider \mathbf{dT} , or just \mathbb{T} , to stand for a totally ordered set of time points.
49. And we consider \mathbb{TI} to stand for time intervals based on \mathbb{T} .
50. We postulate an infinitesimal small time interval δ .
51. \mathbb{T} , in our presentation, has lower and upper bounds.
52. We can compare times and we can compare time intervals.
53. And there are a number of “arithmetics-like” operations on times and time intervals.

type

94. T

95. TI

value96. $\delta: TI$ 97. MIN, MAX: $T \rightarrow T$ 97. $<, \leq, =, \geq, >$: $(T \times T) \mid (TI \times TI) \rightarrow \mathbf{Bool}$ 98. $-$: $T \times T \rightarrow TI$ 99. $+$: $T \times TI, TI \times T \rightarrow T$ 99. $-$, $+$: $TI \times TI \rightarrow TI$ 99. $*$: $TI \times \mathbf{Real} \rightarrow TI$ 99. $/$: $TI \times TI \rightarrow \mathbf{Real}$

54. We postulate a global **clock** behaviour which offers the current time.

55. We declare a channel **clk_ch**.

value

100. $\text{clock}: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbf{out} \text{ clk_ch } \mathbf{Unit}$

100. $\text{clock}(t) \equiv \dots \text{clk_ch!}t \dots \text{clock}(t \sqcap t+\delta)$

channel

101. $\text{clk_ch}: \mathbb{T}$

1.8.2. Globally Observable Parts

- There is given

56. a net, $n:N$,

57. a set of vehicles, $vs:V\text{-set}$, and

58. a monitor, $m:M$.

- The $n:N$, $vs:V\text{-set}$ and $m:M$ are observable from the road traffic system domain.

value

103. $n:N = \underline{\text{obs}}_N(\Delta)$

103. $ls:L\text{-set} = \underline{\text{obs}}_{Ls}(\underline{\text{obs}}_{LS}(n))$, $hs:H\text{-set} = \underline{\text{obs}}_{Hs}(\underline{\text{obs}}_{HS}(n))$,

103. $lis:LI\text{-set} = \{\underline{\text{uid}}_L(l) \mid l:L \cdot l \in ls\}$, $his:HI\text{-set} = \{\underline{\text{uid}}_H(h) \mid h:H \cdot h \in hs\}$

104. $vs:V\text{-set} = \underline{\text{obs}}_{Vs}(\underline{\text{obs}}_{VS}(\underline{\text{obs}}_F(\Delta)))$, $vis:V\text{-set} = \{\underline{\text{uid}}_V(v) \mid v:V \cdot v \in vs\}$

105. $m:\underline{\text{obs}}_M(\Delta)$

1.8.3. Road Traffic System Behaviours

59. Thus we shall consider our road traffic system, **rts**, as
- a the concurrent behaviour of a number of vehicles and,
to “observe”, or, as we shall call it, to monitor their movements,
 - b the **monitor** behaviour, based on
 - c the monitor and its unique identifier,
 - d an initial vehicle position map, and
 - e an initial starting time.

value

59c. $mi:MI = \underline{uid_}(m)$

59d. $vpm:VPM = vpr(vs)(n)$

59e. $t_0:T = clk_ch?$

102. $rts() =$

102a. $\parallel \{veh(\underline{uid_}V(v))(v)(vpm(\underline{uid_}V(v))) \mid v:V \cdot v \in vs\}$

102b. $\parallel mon(mi)(m)([t_0 \mapsto vpm])$

- where the “extra” **monitor** argument
 - ❖ records the discrete road traffic, **RTF**,
 - ❖ initially set to the singleton map from an initial start time, t_0 to the initial assignment of vehicle positions.

1.8.4. Channels

- In order for the monitor behaviour to assess the vehicle positions
 - ❖ these vehicles communicate their positions
 - ❖ to the monitor
 - ❖ via a vehicle to monitor channel.
- In order for the monitor to time-stamp these positions
 - ❖ it must be able to “read” a clock.

60. Thus we declare a set of channels indexed by the unique identifiers of vehicles and communicating vehicle positions.

channel

106. $\{vm_ch[mi,vi] \mid vi:VI \cdot vi \in vis\}:VP$

1.8.5. Behaviour Signatures

61. The road traffic system behaviour, **rts**, takes no arguments; and “behaves”, that is, continues forever.
62. The vehicle behaviours are indexed by the unique identifier, $\text{uid}_V(v):VI$, the vehicle part, $v:V$ and the vehicle position; offers communication to the **monitor** behaviour; and behaves “forever”.
63. The **monitor** behaviour takes monitor part, $m:M$, as argument and also the discrete road traffic, $\text{drtf}:dRTF$; the behaviour otherwise runs forever.

value

109. $\text{rts}: \mathbf{Unit} \rightarrow \mathbf{Unit}$

110. $\text{veh}: vi:VI \rightarrow v:V \rightarrow VP \rightarrow \mathbf{out} \text{ vm_ch}[vi], mi:MI \mathbf{Unit}$

111. $\text{mon}: mi:MI \rightarrow m:M \rightarrow dRTF \rightarrow \mathbf{in} \{ \text{vm_ch}[mi,vi] \mid vi:VI \cdot vi \in \text{vis} \}, \text{clk}$

1.8.6. The Vehicle Behaviour

64. A **vehicle** process

- is indexed by the unique vehicle identifier $vi:VI$,
- the vehicle “as such”, $v:V$ and
- the vehicle position, $vp:VPos$.

The vehicle process communicates

- with the **monitor** process on channel $vm[vi]$
- (sends, but receives no messages), and
- otherwise evolves “in[de]finitely” (hence **Unit**).

65. We describe here an abstraction of the vehicle behaviour **at** a **Hub** (**hi**).

a Either the vehicle remains at that hub informing the monitor,

b or, internally non-deterministically,

i. moves onto a link, **tli**, whose “next” hub, identified by **thi**, is obtained from the mereology of the link identified by **tli**;

ii. informs the monitor, on channel **vm[vi]**, that it is now on the link identified by **tli**,

iii. whereupon the vehicle resumes the vehicle behaviour positioned at the very beginning (**0**) of that link,

c or, again internally non-deterministically,

d the vehicle “disappears — off the radar” !

113. $\text{veh}(\text{vi})(\text{v})(\text{vp}:\text{atH}(\text{fli},\text{hi},\text{tli})) \equiv$

113a. $\text{vm_ch}[\text{mi},\text{vi}]!\text{vp} ; \text{veh}(\text{vi})(\text{v})(\text{vp})$

113b. \sqcap

113(b)i. **let** $\{\text{hi}',\text{thi}\}=\underline{\text{mereo_L}}(\text{get_L}(\text{tli})(\text{n}))$ **in assert:** $\text{hi}'=\text{hi}$

113(b)ii. $\text{vm_ch}[\text{mi},\text{vi}]!\text{onL}(\text{tli},\text{hi},0,\text{thi}) ;$

113(b)iii. $\text{veh}(\text{vi})(\text{v})(\text{onL}(\text{tli},\text{hi},0,\text{thi}))$ **end**

113c. \sqcap

113d. **stop**

66. We describe here an abstraction of the vehicle behaviour **on** a **Link** (ii).

Either

a the vehicle remains at that link position informing the monitor,

b or, internally non-deterministically,

c if the vehicle's position on the link has not yet reached the hub,

i. then the vehicle moves an arbitrary increment δ along the link informing the monitor of this, or

ii. else, while obtaining a “next link” from the mereology of the hub (where that next link could very well be the same as the link the vehicle is about to leave),

A. the vehicle informs the monitor that it is now at the hub identified by **thi**,

B. whereupon the vehicle resumes the vehicle behaviour positioned at that hub.

67. or, internally non-deterministically,

68. the vehicle “disappears — off the radar” !

```

112. veh(vi)(v)(vp:onL(fhi,li,f,thi)) ≡
114a.   vm_ch[ mi,vi ]!vp ; veh(vi)(v)(vp)
114b.   ⊐
114c.   if f + δ < 1
114(c)i.   then vm_ch[ mi,vi ]!onL(fhi,li,f+δ,thi) ;
114(c)i.   veh(vi)(v)(onL(fhi,li,f+δ,thi))
114(c)ii.  else let li':LI·li' ∈ mereo_H(get_H(thi)(n)) in
114(c)iiA.  vm_ch[ mi,vi ]!atH(li,thi,li');
114(c)iiB.  veh(vi)(v)(atH(li,thi,li')) end end
115.   ⊐
116.   stop

```

1.8.7. The Monitor Behaviour

69. The **monitor** behaviour evolves around the attributes of an own “state”, $m:M$, a table of traces of vehicle positions, while accepting messages about vehicle positions and otherwise progressing “in[de]finitely”.
70. Either the monitor “does own work”
71. or, internally non-deterministically accepts messages from vehicles.
- a A vehicle position message, vp , may arrive from the vehicle identified by vi .
 - b That message is appended to that vehicle’s movement trace,
 - c whereupon the monitor resumes its behaviour —
 - d where the communicating vehicles range over all identified vehicles.

117. $\text{mon}(\text{mi})(\text{m})(\text{rtf}) \equiv$
 118. $\text{mon}(\text{mi})(\text{own_mon_work}(\text{m}))(\text{rtf})$
 119. \prod
 119a. $\prod \{ \text{let } ((\text{vi}, \text{vp}), \text{t}) = (\text{vm_ch}[\text{mi}, \text{vi}]?, \text{clk_ch}?) \text{ in}$
 119b. $\text{let } \text{rtf}' = \text{rtf} \dagger [\text{t} \mapsto \text{rtf}(\max \mathbf{dom} \text{rtf}) \dagger [\text{vi} \mapsto \text{vp}]] \text{ in}$
 119c. $\text{mon}(\text{mi})(\text{m})(\text{rtf}') \text{ end}$
 119d. $\text{end} \mid \text{vi:VI} \cdot \text{vi} \in \text{vis} \}$

118. $\text{own_mon_work}: \text{M} \rightarrow \text{dRTF} \rightarrow \text{M}$

- We do not describe the clock behaviour by other than stating that it continually offers the current time on channel `clkm_ch`. ■