

Errata to Bayesian Community Detection

Neural Computation vol. 24(9) pages 2434-2456, 2012

Morten Mørup and Mikkel N. Schmidt¹

¹Section for Cognitive Systems, Technical University of Denmark
Matematiktorvet, Bygning 321, 2800 Kgs. Lyngby
{mmor,mnsc}@dtu.dk.

Due to bugs in the MCMC sampler for the IHM, IDM, IRM and IRMCB models the inference and predictions for these models were not correct. We have therefore revised the sampler in the toolbox accompanying the article and re-run the experiments of table 1, 2 and 3.

The new results show that the BCD outperforms the existing methods for about half of the considered networks, but not for the majority as we originally stated (see the updated Table 2). In terms of the number of components extracted by IRM and BCD, it still holds in general that the BCD extracts more clusters; however, the new results show that it is not the case for all the considered networks (see the updated Table 1).

Table 1: Network Properties and Results of Analysis.

	NETWORK PROPERTIES			CLUSTERS		γ	AUC [%]	
	N	N^+	WGH. DIR.	IRM	BCD		IRM	BCD
USAir97	332	2126		14.4(2)	19.0(3)	1.00(0)	95.2(14)	94.5(15)
USPowerGrid	4941	6594		16.6(5)	37.8(32)	0.04(0)	81.4(10)	77.0(16)
Football	115	613		11.0(0)	13.6(4)	0.10(0)	88.0(43)	87.9(35)
Celegans	306	2345	✓ ✓	36.8(10)	29.8(9)	1.00(0)	88.7(8)	89.1(2)
yeast	2361	6646		25.0(0)	32.6(12)	1.00(0)	88.7(5)	87.5(7)
lesmis	77	254	✓	12.6(4)	12.4(4)	0.99(1)	93.0(39)	94.2(27)
Geom	7343	11898	✓	71.2(14)	76.8(9)	0.98(1)	89.4(8)	89.4(10)
netscience	1589	2742	✓	8.2(2)	8.4(2)	0.89(4)	59.5(21)	65.7(24)
cond-mat	16726	47594	✓	53.0(7)	53.8(41)	0.31(11)	71.5(4)	75.9(8)
SciMet	3084	10413		19.0(3)	23.0(7)	1.00(0)	90.7(9)	89.1(8)
smaGri	1059	4919		13.8(5)	19.0(4)	1.00(0)	92.4(7)	89.2(8)
smallW	396	994		8.8(2)	11.4(5)	1.00(0)	99.1(3)	98.3(5)
NIPS	234	598		8.0(0)	30.6(15)	0.03(0)	89.1(26)	89.4(25)
NIPSCW	2865	4733	✓	32.0(4)	85.6(23)	0.01(0)	90.4(12)	89.7(5)

Table 2: Area Under Curve (AUC) [%] Link Prediction Score.

	IHW	IDM	IRM	IRMCB	BCD shared γ	BCD separate γ
USAir97	80.3(25)	85.2(16)	95.2(14)	94.4(13)	94.5(15)	95.3(12)
USPowerGrid	75.8(11)	74.4(19)	81.4(10)	82.9(13)	77.0(16)	80.7(17)
Football	84.4(41)	84.7(38)	88.0(43)	88.2(36)	87.9(35)	88.6(41)
Celegans	57.9(21)	57.6(27)	88.7(8)	89.2(6)	89.1(2)	88.9(5)
yeast	71.7(27)	83.8(4)	88.7(5)	88.6(7)	87.5(7)	87.4(7)
lesmis	60.0(25)	79.7(62)	93.0(39)	97.1(29)	94.2(27)	96.1(23)
Geom	70.8(20)	73.4(5)	89.4(8)	88.8(4)	89.4(10)	90.1(7)
netscience	67.0(21)	53.8(19)	59.5(21)	58.4(23)	65.7(24)	68.2(17)
cond-mat	65.4(8)	64.1(5)	71.5(4)	68.5(3)	75.9(8)	74.5(16)
SciMet	74.0(6)	80.9(5)	90.7(9)	90.5(6)	89.1(8)	89.6(6)
smaGri	71.4(9)	82.8(10)	92.4(7)	92.4(5)	89.2(8)	89.3(5)
smallW	84.0(16)	90.8(10)	99.1(3)	99.0(3)	98.3(5)	97.7(6)
NIPS	89.7(25)	92.5(28)	89.1(26)	87.4(45)	89.4(25)	94.5(17)
NIPSCW	68.5(37)	83.7(14)	90.4(12)	89.9(5)	89.7(5)	91.5(6)

Table 3: Average per Iteration CPU-Time.

	IHW	IDM	IRM	IRMCB	BCD shared γ	BCD separate γ
USAir97	0.23(4)	0.29(0)	0.29(2)	0.40(6)	2.68(21)	3.09(25)
USPowerGrid	1.83(3)	2.42(3)	3.96(38)	5.34(38)	64.13(376)	69.01(479)
Football	0.04(0)	0.06(0)	0.07(0)	0.08(0)	0.74(4)	1.11(6)
Celegans	0.23(4)	0.18(1)	0.37(4)	0.55(7)	4.63(44)	5.32(43)
yeast	1.92(29)	2.60(18)	2.92(21)	5.48(22)	30.81(180)	40.74(269)
lesmis	0.06(1)	0.04(0)	0.06(0)	0.08(1)	0.50(3)	0.64(3)
Geom	9.73(120)	9.44(141)	16.92(383)	31.09(742)	188.23(1146)	320.29(705)
netscience	0.62(5)	1.25(5)	2.09(9)	2.54(15)	15.92(93)	17.72(99)
cond-mat	45.89(1566)	46.60(1797)	50.21(2068)	72.85(187)	245.70(2914)	739.99(7486)
SciMet	2.30(32)	2.58(4)	3.82(5)	6.87(42)	57.39(399)	63.27(367)
smaGri	0.86(16)	1.07(3)	1.15(2)	2.01(23)	14.83(76)	14.47(37)
smallW	0.35(5)	0.37(0)	0.51(3)	0.83(15)	3.93(10)	4.40(20)
NIPS	0.10(1)	0.11(1)	0.21(1)	0.30(5)	1.74(12)	2.29(13)
NIPSCW	2.33(31)	1.43(4)	2.28(19)	3.69(35)	32.13(350)	39.62(371)