Faculty of Science



### Optimal Net Surface Segmentation Application to Airway Walls in CT Images

Jens Petersen

August 16, 2011 Slide 1/22

### Introduction

Wu and Chen's optimal net surface problems<sup>1</sup>:

- Globally optimal solution (given the graph discretization).
- Multiple surfaces in multiple dimensions.
- Surface cost functions and geometric constraints.
- Polynomial time solution using maximum flow algorithms.

 $^1 X.$  Wu, D. Z. Chen, LNCS, 2002, vol. 2380, pp. 1029-1042 Jens Petersen — Optimal Net Surface Segmentation Slide  $^{2/22}$ 



# Introduction - Comparison with Graph Cut<sup>2</sup>

Advantages:

- Can optimally deal with more than two labels.
- Initial knowledge of surface orientation and position can be used to develop shape priors.

Disadvantages:

• The sought surface(s) must be terrain-like within some initially known transformation.

 $^2 \rm Y.$  Boykov et al, 2001, PAMI, vol. 23, No. 11, pp. 1222-1239 Jens Petersen — Optimal Net Surface Segmentation Slide  $_{\rm 3/22}$ 



Goal: Find some terrain-like surface.



Graph vertices belong to a disjoint set of columns  $V_B$ .



A net surface N in G is a subset of V, such that:

- Each vertex in N belongs to exactly one column in  $V_B$
- There exists exactly one vertex in each column belonging to N.



The optimal net surface problem:

Slide 4/22

Vertex cost function (positive).





Cost of all vertices in the surface.





Edge cost function (convex, non-decreasing).

Cost of all vertex pairs in the surface.



Multiple dependent surfaces, how?



Multiple dependent surfaces, how?

• Add a sub-graph of columns for each surface.





Multiple dependent surfaces, how?

- Add a sub-graph of columns for each surface.
- Inter-surface constraints easily added.



Goal:

• Optimal surface(s) given by top-most vertices in minimum cut source set.



Goal:

• Optimal surface(s) given by top-most vertices in minimum cut source set.

How?

• Add source and sink nodes.



Goal:

• Optimal surface(s) given by top-most vertices in minimum cut source set.

- Add source and sink nodes.
- Force solution to be a net surface.





Goal:

• Optimal surface(s) given by top-most vertices in minimum cut source set.

- Add source and sink nodes.
- Force solution to be a net surface.
- Implement vertex cost function.





Goal:

• Optimal surface(s) given by top-most vertices in minimum cut source set.

- Add source and sink nodes.
- Force solution to be a net surface.
- Implement vertex cost function.
- Implement edge cost function.



Goal:

• Optimal surface(s) given by top-most vertices in minimum cut source set.

How?

- Add source and sink nodes.
- Force solution to be a net surface.
- Implement vertex cost function.
- Implement edge cost function.

$$g_{i,j}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x < 0\\ f_{i,j}(1) & \text{if } x = 0\\ f_{i,j}(x+1) - 2f_{i,j}(x) + & \\ f_{i,j}(x-1) & \text{if } x > 0 \end{cases}$$

Assume WLOG:  $f_{i,j}(0) = 0$  and note:  $g_{i,j}(x) \ge 0$ .





Goal:

• Optimal surface(s) given by top-most vertices in minimum cut source set.

How?

- Add source and sink nodes.
- Force solution to be a net surface.
- Implement vertex cost function.
- Implement edge cost function.

$$g_{i,j}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x < 0 \\ f_{i,j}(1) & \text{if } x = 0 \\ f_{i,j}(x+1) - 2f_{i,j}(x) + & \\ f_{i,j}(x-1) & \text{if } x > 0 \end{cases}$$

Assume WLOG:  $f_{i,j}(0) = 0$  and note:  $g_{i,j}(x) \ge 0$ .



Goal:

• Optimal surface(s) given by top-most vertices in minimum cut source set.

How?

- Add source and sink nodes.
- Force solution to be a net surface.
- Implement vertex cost function.
- Implement edge cost function.

$$g_{i,j}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x < 0\\ f_{i,j}(1) & \text{if } x = 0\\ f_{i,j}(x+1) - 2f_{i,j}(x) + & \\ f_{i,j}(x-1) & \text{if } x > 0 \end{cases}$$

Assume WLOG:  $f_{i,j}(0) = 0$  and note:  $g_{i,j}(x) \ge 0$ .







$$g_{i,j}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x < 0\\ f_{i,j}(1) & \text{if } x = 0\\ f_{i,j}(x+1) - 2f_{i,j}(x) + & \\ f_{i,j}(x-1) & \text{if } x > 0 \end{cases}$$

Example cut:

 $w(a_3) + w(b_0) + 3g_{a,b}(0) + 2g_{a,b}(1) + g_{a,b}(2)$ 



$$g_{i,j}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x < 0\\ f_{i,j}(1) & \text{if } x = 0\\ f_{i,j}(x+1) - 2f_{i,j}(x) + & \\ f_{i,j}(x-1) & \text{if } x > 0 \end{cases}$$

Example cut:

 $w(a_3) + w(b_0) + 3g_{a,b}(0) + 2g_{a,b}(1) + g_{a,b}(2)$ 

$$w(a_3) + w(b_0) + 3f_{a,b}(1) + 2(f_{a,b}(2) - 2f_{a,b}(1)) + f_{a,b}(0)) + f_{a,b}(3) - 2f_{a,b}(2) + f_{a,b}(1) = 0$$



$$g_{i,j}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x < 0\\ f_{i,j}(1) & \text{if } x = 0\\ f_{i,j}(x+1) - 2f_{i,j}(x) + & \\ f_{i,j}(x-1) & \text{if } x > 0 \end{cases}$$

Example cut:

 $w(a_3) + w(b_0) + 3g_{a,b}(0) + 2g_{a,b}(1) + g_{a,b}(2)$ 

$$w(a_3) + w(b_0) + 3f_{a,b}(1) + 2(f_{a,b}(2) - 2f_{a,b}(1) - f_{a,b}(0)) + f_{a,b}(3) - 2f_{a,b}(2) + f_{a,b}(1) = w(a_3) + w(b_0) + f_{a,b}(3)$$



Infinite cost edges  $\rightarrow$  hard constraints.

• Change at most one index.





Infinite cost edges  $\rightarrow$  hard constraints.

• Force solution in one column to be above or same level as other.



### Graph Space - How?

Easy if the sought surface (or contour):

- Is terrain-like.
- Can be easily 'unfolded': tubular, star-shaped, etc.



However most surfaces are not like that.



Approach of Liu et al.<sup>3</sup>:



• Initial rough segmentation.



Approach of Liu et al.<sup>3</sup>:



- Initial rough segmentation.
- Columns at surface points in normal direction.



Approach of Liu et al.<sup>3</sup>:



- Initial rough segmentation.
- Columns at surface points in normal direction.
- Length: distance to the inner and outer medial axes.

Approach of Liu et al.<sup>3</sup>:



Columns can be too short.



Approach of Liu et al.<sup>3</sup>:



Poor results in regions with high curvature!



Our approach<sup>4</sup>:

- Combine regularization of the rough initial segmentation with computation of columns.
- Columns constructed as greatest ascent/descent flow lines from surface points in the smoothed initial segmentation.

<sup>4</sup>Petersen et al., LNCS, 2011, vol. 6801, pp. 49-60 Jens Petersen — Optimal Net Surface Segmentation Slide 11/22



Our approach<sup>4</sup>:

- Combine regularization of the rough initial segmentation with computation of columns.
- Columns constructed as greatest ascent/descent flow lines from surface points in the smoothed initial segmentation.

Advantages:

- Well suited for surfaces with high curvature.
- Noise and small errors can be removed by increasing regularization.
- Surfaces do not self-intersect.

<sup>4</sup>Petersen et al., LNCS, 2011, vol. 6801, pp. 49-60 Jens Petersen — Optimal Net Surface Segmentation Slide 11/22





#### • Compute rough initial segmentation obtaining a binary image.







- Compute rough initial segmentation obtaining a binary image.
  Convolve binary image with some regularization filter.
- Onvolve binary image with some regularization filter.





- ① Compute rough initial segmentation obtaining a binary image.
- **②** Convolve binary image with some regularization filter.
- Trace flow lines from surface points of the initial segmentation inward and outward.





- ① Compute rough initial segmentation obtaining a binary image.
- ② Convolve binary image with some regularization filter.
- Trace flow lines from surface points of the initial segmentation inward and outward.
- Find surfaces using an optimal net surface method with columns following the flow lines.



# Application to Airway Walls in CT Images

Why do we want to segment airway walls in CT?

- Relevant in connection with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD).
  - Airway lumen narrowing.
  - Airway wall thickening.
- Two surface problem  $\rightarrow$  inner and outer wall surface.

## Application to Airway Walls in CT Images





# Application to Airway Walls in CT Images

Initial segmentation Lo et al.<sup>5</sup>:

• Segmentation of the lumen surface.

Cost functions:

- Vertex cost: weightings of the intensity derivatives.
- Edge cost:
  - Linear non-smoothness penalty for each surface.
  - Linear surface separation penalty.

 $^5\text{Lo}$  et al., LNCS, 2009, vol. 5762, pp. 51-58 Jens Petersen — Optimal Net Surface Segmentation Slide 15/22



### Results - Visualizations



(a) Inner Surface

(b) Outer Surface



# Results - Cross-sections near bifurcations

#### Flow line columns with Gaussian regularization



Medial axes + normal direction columns



Slide 18/22

### Results - Manual Annotations

	Normal direction	Flow line
Dice coefficient	$\textbf{0.87} \pm \textbf{0.09}$	$0.89{\pm}0.06$
Contour distance (mm)	$0.11\pm0.13$	$0.09{\pm}0.10$

Slide 18/22

### Results - Manual Annotations

	Normal direction	Flow line
Dice coefficient	$\textbf{0.87} \pm \textbf{0.09}$	0.89±0.06
Contour distance (mm)	$0.11\pm0.13$	$0.09{\pm}0.10$

### Results - Manual Annotations

	Normal direction	Flow line
Dice coefficient	$\textbf{0.87} \pm \textbf{0.09}$	$0.89{\pm}0.06$
Contour distance (mm)	$0.11\pm0.13$	$0.09{\pm}0.10$

### Results - Manual Annotations

319 Manually annotated cross-sectional slices.

Jens Petersen - Optimal Net Surface Segmentation

Slide 18/22

	Normal direction	Flow line
Dice coefficient	$\textbf{0.87} \pm \textbf{0.09}$	0.09±0.06
Contour distance (mm)	$0.11\pm0.13$	0.09±0.10

### Results - Manual Annotations

	Normal direction	Flow line
Dice coefficient	$\textbf{0.87} \pm \textbf{0.09}$	0.89 <mark>±0.06</mark>
Contour distance (mm)	$0.11\pm0.13$	0.09±0.10

# Results - Correlation with Lung Function

- 480 low dose (120 kV and 40 mAs), 0.78mm  $\times$  0.78mm  $\times$  1mm
- Measures: lumen volume (blue) and wall area percentage (green).
- Spearman correlation with FEV1 (% predicted).





# Questions?





#### Thank you!



 $\label{eq:lenser} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{Jens Petersen} & - \mbox{Optimal Net Surface Segmentation} \\ \mbox{Slide $20/22$} \end{array}$ 



Slide 21/22































Slide 21/22









### Extra Slides: Training

Data:

- 329 Cross-sectional images from 8 subjects.
- Randomly extracted perpendicular to and centered on airway centerline.
- Manually annotated with lumen and complete airway area.

Training:

- Inner and outer surface smoothness constraints.
- Inner and outer cost function derivative weightings.
- Separation constraint.

Method:

- Similar to coordinate search.
- Criteria: Dice coefficient.

