

Chapter 4 - Solutions to exercises

Exercises: 5,6

Exercise 5

The third term of equation (4.12)

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{t=1}^T u_j(t) \log \Pr(X_t = x_t | C_t = j) \quad (4.12)$$

in Zucchini09 only depends on the state dependent parameters (here with a slightly different notation). Thus, in estimating the state dependent parameters the maximum of this term must be found.

a)

In the case of a binomial-HMM we have

$$\Pr(X_t = x_t | C_t = j) = \binom{n_t}{x_t} p_j^{x_t} (1 - p_j)^{n_t - x_t}.$$

To find the value of p_j which maximizes (4.12) we take derivative of $\log \Pr(X_t = x_t | C_t = j)$ with respect to p_j :

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} [\log \Pr(X_t = x_t | C_t = j)] \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} \log \left[\binom{n_t}{x_t} p_j^{x_t} (1 - p_j)^{n_t - x_t} \right] \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} [x_t \log p_j + (n_t - x_t) \log(1 - p_j)] \\ &= \frac{x_t}{p_j} - \frac{n_t - x_t}{1 - p_j} \\ &= \frac{x - n_t p_j}{p_j(1 - p_j)}. \end{aligned}$$

Inserting into (4.12) and equating to zero we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= \sum_{t=1}^T u_j(t) \frac{x - n_t p_j}{p_j(1 - p_j)} \\
 0 &= \sum_{t=1}^T u_j(t) x_t - p_j \sum_{t=1}^T u_j(t) n_t \\
 p_j &= \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T u_j(t) x_t}{\sum_{t=1}^T u_j(t) n_t}.
 \end{aligned}$$

b)

In the case of an exponential-HMM we have

$$p(x_t | C_t = j) = \lambda_j e^{-\lambda_j x_t},$$

note that for a continuous random variable we use the probability *density* function instead of the probability mass function. Differentiating gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_j} [\log(\lambda_j e^{-\lambda_j x_t})] \\
 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_j} [\log \lambda_j - \lambda_j x_t] \\
 &= \frac{1}{\lambda_j} - x_t.
 \end{aligned}$$

Inserting into (4.12) and equating to zero we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= \sum_{t=1}^T u_j(t) \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_j} - x_t \right) \\
 0 &= \sum_{t=1}^T u_j(t) \frac{1}{\lambda_j} - \sum_{t=1}^T u_j(t) x_t \\
 \lambda_j &= \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T u_j(t)}{\sum_{t=1}^T u_j(t) x_t}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 6

For the normal distribution use the results on p.67 in Zucchini09 to get the modified functions:

```
# Chapter 4, R-code for exercise 6, mwp 31/1-2011
statdist <- function(gamma){
  m = dim(gamma)[1]
  matrix(1,1,m) %*% solve(diag(1,m) - gamma + matrix(1,m,m))
}
norm.HMM.generate_sample <-
function(n,m,mu,sigma,gamma,delta=NULL)
{
  if(is.null(delta))delta<-solve(t(diag(m)-gamma+1),rep(1,m))
  mvect <- 1:m
  state <- numeric(n)
  state[1] <- sample(mvect,1,prob=delta)
  for (i in 2:n)
    state[i]<-sample(mvect,1,prob=gamma[state[i-1],])
  x <- rnorm(n,mu[state],sigma[state])
  x
}
norm.HMM.lalphabeta<-function(x,m,mu,sigma,gamma,delta=NULL)
{
  if(is.null(delta))delta<-solve(t(diag(m)-gamma+1),rep(1,m))
  n      <- length(x)
  lalpha <- lbeta<-matrix(NA,m,n)
# allprobs  <- outer(x,lambd,dpois)          # <- OLD
allprobs  <- matrix(NA,n,m)                  # <- NEW
for(i in 1:m){ allprobs[,i] <- dnorm(x,mu[i],sigma[i])} # <- NEW
foo       <- delta*allprobs[1,]
sumfoo    <- sum(foo)
lscale    <- log(sumfoo)
foo       <- foo/sumfoo
lalpha[,1] <- log(foo)+lscale
for (i in 2:n)
{
  foo      <- foo%*%gamma*allprobs[i,]
  sumfoo   <- sum(foo)
  lscale   <- lscale+log(sumfoo)
  foo      <- foo/sumfoo
  lalpha[,i] <- log(foo)+lscale
}
lbeta[,n] <- rep(0,m)
foo       <- rep(1/m,m)
lscale    <- log(m)
for (i in (n-1):1)
{
  foo      <- gamma%*(allprobs[i+1,]*foo)
  lbeta[,i] <- log(foo)+lscale
  sumfoo   <- sum(foo)
  foo      <- foo/sumfoo
  lscale   <- lscale+log(sumfoo)
}
```

```

list(la=lalpha,lb=lbeta)
}

norm.HMM.EM <- function(x,m,mu,sigma,gamma,delta,maxiter=1000,tol=1e-6,...)
{
  n          <- length(x)
# lambda.next <- lambda                                # <- OLD
  mu.next    <- mu                                      # <- NEW
  sigma.next <- sigma                                  # <- NEW
  gamma.next <- gamma
  delta.next <- delta
  for (iter in 1:maxiter)
  {
# lallprobs <- outer(x,lambda,dpois,log=TRUE)          # <- OLD
    lallprobs <- matrix(NA,n,m)                        # <- NEW
    for(i in 1:m){ lallprobs[,i] <- log(dnorm(x,mu[i],sigma[i]))} # <- NEW
# fb <- pois.HMM.lalphabeta(x,m,lambda,gamma,delta=delta) # <- OLD
    fb <- norm.HMM.lalphabeta(x,m,mu,sigma,gamma,delta=delta) # <- NEW
    la <- fb$la
    lb <- fb$lb
    c <- max(la[,n])
    llk <- c+log(sum(exp(la[,n]-c)))
    for (j in 1:m)
    {
      for (k in 1:m)
      {
        gamma.next[j,k] <- gamma[j,k]*sum(exp(la[j,1:(n-1)]+
          lallprobs[2:n,k]+lb[k,2:n]-llk))
      }
# lambda.next[j] <- sum(exp(la[j,]+lb[j,]-llk)*x)/      # <- OLD
# sum(exp(la[j,]+lb[j,]-llk))                          # <- OLD
    mu.next[j] <- sum(exp(la[j,]+lb[j,]-llk)*x)/      # <- NEW
    sum(exp(la[j,]+lb[j,]-llk))                      # <- NEW
    sigma.next[j] <- sqrt(sum(exp(la[j,]+lb[j,]-llk)   # <- NEW
      *(x-mu.next[j])^2)/
      sum(exp(la[j,]+lb[j,]-llk)))                  # <- NEW
  }
  gamma.next <- gamma.next/apply(gamma.next,1,sum)
  delta.next <- exp(la[,1]+lb[,1]-llk)
  delta.next <- delta.next/sum(delta.next)
  crit <- sum(abs(mu-mu.next)) +                      # <- NEW
    sum(abs(sigma-sigma.next)) +                      # <- NEW
    # sum(abs(lambda-lambda.next)) +                  # <- OLD
    sum(abs(gamma-gamma.next)) +
    sum(abs(delta-delta.next))
  if(crit<tol)
  {
    np <- m*m+m-1
    AIC <- -2*(llk-np)
    BIC <- -2*llk+np*log(n)
    return(list(mu=mu,sigma=sigma,gamma=gamma,delta=delta,
      mllk=-llk,AIC=AIC,BIC=BIC))
  }
  mu <- mu.next # <- NEW

```

```

    sigma      <- sigma.next  # <- NEW
# lambda      <- lambda.next  # <- OLD
    gamma      <- gamma.next
    delta      <- delta.next
  }
  print(paste("No convergence after",maxiter,"iterations"))
  NA
}

# case m=2
m= 2
mu = c(-3,3)
sigma = c(1,2)
gamma= rbind(c(0.9,0.1),c(0.1,0.9))
delta= statdist(gamma)
n=200

# Generate synthetic data
x <- norm.HMM.generate_sample(n,m,mu,sigma,gamma,delta)

# Fit normal-HMM with the EM algorithm,
res <- norm.HMM.EM(x,m,mu,sigma,gamma,delta)

```

Note that the new functions are tested by simulating data and then reestimating the parameters. The output of the above script is

```

> res
$mu
[1] -3.145358  3.252831
$sigma
[1] 0.943199  2.016094
$gamma
      [,1]      [,2]
[1,] 0.9024413 0.0975587
[2,] 0.1324828 0.8675172
$delta
[1] 7.191168e-237  1.000000e+00
$mllk
[1] 405.9208
$AIC
[1] 821.8417
$BIC
[1] 838.3333

```

The output provides strong evidence that the functions work as hoped since the estimated parameters are close to the true parameters used to generate the data.

Implementing the above functions for the **binomial** distribution use the results of exercise 5.a while assuming that x_t and n_t are observed.

Implementing the above functions for the **exponential** distribution use the results of exercise 5.b while assuming that x_t is observed.