

Homework 1



- The R-multicast algorithm satisfies **validity**, since a correct process will eventually *B-deliver* the message to itself.
- The algorithm satisfies **integrity**, because of
 - (1) the integrity property of the underlying communication channels
 - (2) the fact that duplicates are not delivered.

What about **agreement**? It follows because... **HOMEWORK! :-)**

Homework 2



TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF DENMARK
(M.Sc.Eng.-course)

Page 4 of 6 pages

TOPIC 2 (28%): Multicast Communication

Let us consider ordered multicast in distributed systems. Well known ordering delivery requirements are *total ordering*, *causal ordering* and *FIFO ordering*.

PROBLEM 2.1 (6%)

For each of the three ordering delivery requirements, define the delivery requirement and draw an example of computation in which the requirement is met.

PROBLEM 2.2 (12%)

For each of the following 6 statements indicate whether the statement is true or false. In the latter case (that is, the statement is false), justify your answer by means of a counterexample.

Statement 1 (2%): Total ordering implies FIFO ordering.

Statement 2 (2%): FIFO ordering implies total ordering.

Statement 3 (2%): Total ordering implies causal ordering.

Statement 4 (2%): Causal ordering implies total ordering.

Statement 5 (2%): FIFO ordering implies causal ordering.

Statement 6 (2%): Causal ordering implies FIFO ordering.

PROBLEM 2.3 (10%)

Show informally that if two processes use a FIFO-ordered variant of B-multicast, then the totally ordered multicast is also causally ordered.

Homework 3



FIFO ordered multicast can be achieved by means of sequence numbers. A key assumption of the basic FIFO multicast algorithm (informally sketched in Figure 2) is that processes are organized in non-overlapping groups.

- A process p has variables:
 - S_g^p : how many messages p has sent to group g
 - R_g^q : sequence number of the latest message p has delivered from process q that was sent to group g
- For p to *FO-multicast* a message to group g :
 - it piggy backs the value S_g^p onto the message;
 - it *B-multicasts* the message to g ;
 - $S_g^p = S_g^p + 1$.
- Upon a receipt of a message from q bearing the seq. number S , p checks:
 - IF** ($S = R_g^q + 1$) **THEN** it *FO-delivers* the message, setting $R_g^q := S$.
 - ELSIF** ($S > R_g^q + 1$) **THEN**
 - it places the message in its *hold-back queue* until
 - the intervening messages have been delivered and
 - $S = R_g^q + 1$.

Figure 2: Basic FIFO Multicast Algorithm

Homework 3 (cont.)



Question 1: Show that the FIFO multicast algorithm does not work for overlapping groups.

Question 2: Adapt the FIFO multicast algorithm to work for this case, that is considering also overlapping groups of processes.

Homework 4



- Suggest how to adapt the causally ordered multicast protocol to handle overlapping groups.

Homework 5



- Let us consider the reliable multicast algorithm. Explain why reversing the order of lines 11-12 makes the algorithm no longer satisfy agreement.

```
1  On initialization
2    Received := {};
3
4  For process p to R-multicast message m to group g
5    B-multicast(g, m);    //  $p \in g$  is included as a destination
6
7  On B-deliver(m) at process q with g = group(m)
8    if ( $m \notin \text{Received}$ )
9      then
10         Received := Received  $\cup$  {m};
11         if ( $q \neq p$ ) then B-multicast(g, m); end if
12         R-deliver m;
13     end if
```