Written Examination, December 19th, 2006

Course no. 02153

The duration of the examination is 4 hours.

Course Name: Declarative Modelling

Allowed aids: All written material

The problem set consists of 7 problems which are weighted as follows:

Problem 1: 10%, Problem 2: 15%, Problem 3: 10%, Problem 4: 15%,

Problem 5: 25%, Problem 6: 10%, Problem 7: 15%

Marking: 13-scale.

Problem 1 (10%)

In the following it can be assumed that all elements of the lists are integers.

Question 1.1

Write a Prolog program cutoff such that cutoff(+List1,?List2) succeeds if and only if List2 is the longest prefix of List1 without negative elements.

Sample Prolog queries:

?- cutoff([1,-2,3],[1]).

Yes

?- cutoff([1,-2,3],[1,-2,3]).

No

Problem 2 (15%)

In the following a Prolog program is said to be deterministic if and only if it does not succeed more than once.

Consider the following basic predicates:

member(H,[H|_]).
member(H,[_|T]) :- member(H,T).

append([],U,U).
append([H|T],U,[H|V]) :- append(T,U,V).

Here member(?Elem,?List) succeeds if and only if Elem can be unified with one of the members of List and append(?List1,?List2,?List3) succeeds if and only if List3 unifies with the concatenation of List1 and List2.

Consider the following fragment of a boxing club database:

beat(a,[b,c,d]).
beat(b,[]).
beat(c,[d]).
beat(d,[b]).
beat(e,[a]).

Hence boxer a has beaten b, c and d, whereas b has not beaten anyone.

Question 2.1

Write a deterministic Prolog program fighter(+Boxer) corresponding to the following definition: X is a fighter if and only if X has been beaten by someone and X has beaten someone.

The predicate member might be useful.

Question 2.2

Write a deterministic Prolog program count that prints the number of beaten boxers for each boxer as follows:

?- count. 3 a 0 b 1 c 1 d 1 e

Yes

Notice that the Prolog query must always succeed.

Question 2.3

The president of the boxing club wants a Prolog program that can divide a group of boxers in two groups such that each group has at least one fighter.

Consider the following Prolog program:

```
divide(L,A,B) :- append(A,B,L), checkfighter(A), checkfighter(B).
```

```
checkfighter(A) :- member(X,A), fighter(X), !.
```

State the exact sequence of solutions for the Prolog query:

?- divide([a,b,c,d,e],A,B).

Question 2.4

Does the Prolog program divide work as the president of the boxing club expects? Provide a brief explanation.

Problem 3 (10%)

Consider the following fragment of a food ingredient database:

```
ingredient(pizza,ham).
ingredient(pizza,sauce).
ingredient(pizza,cheese).
ingredient(ham,meat).
ingredient(ham,salt).
ingredient(cheese,milk).
ingredient(cheese,salt).
ingredient(sauce,tomato).
ingredient(sauce,water).
ingredient(sauce,salt).
```

Hence pizza contains the ingredients ham, sauce and cheese. An ingredient may contain other ingredients, for example ham contains the ingredients meat and salt.

Question 3.1

Write a Prolog program component such that component(?Term1,?Term2) succeeds if and only if Term1 is an ingredient in Term2 either directly or indirectly because it is a component of an ingredient in Term2.

Sample Prolog queries:

?- component(salt,pizza).

Yes

```
?- component(jam,pizza).
```

No

Question 3.2

State the exact sequence of solutions for the Prolog query:

?- component(X,pizza).

Problem 4 (15%)

Consider the following formula:

$$\exists x \forall y p(x, y) \to \forall y \exists x p(x, y)$$

Question 4.1

Use refutation and the systematic construction of a semantic tableau. State whether this shows that the formula is valid or not.

Question 4.2

Use refutation, skolemization and the general resolution procedure. State whether this shows that the formula is valid or not.

Problem 5 (25%)

In this problem we consider evaluation of arithmetical expressions (type expr) constructed from numbers and identifiers using operators for addition and subtraction and *let-expressions* with local declarations (type decl), as declared by the following mutually recursive datatype declarations.

data	atype ez	xpr =				
	N of in	nt		(*	Number	*)
	I of st	tring		(*	Identifier	*)
	Add of	expr	* expr	(*	Addition	*)
	${\tt Sub of}$	expr	* expr	(*	Subtraction	*)
	Let of	decl	* expr	(*	let-expression	*)
and	decl =	D of	(string * expr) list	(*	declaration list	*)

Expressions are evaluated in an *environment* (type **env**) which associates integer values to strings representing identifiers. We represent an environment by a list of pairs:

type env = (string * int) list;

For example, using a suitable, informal expression-notation

'z + (let x=3, y=x+1 in x+y)'

should evaluate to 9 in an environment where z is 2.

- 1. Give the SML value corresponding to 'z + (let x=3, y=x+1 in x+y)'.
- 2. Declare SML functions lookup and update and state their types.

The function lookup should for a given string x and a given environment env give the integer value associated to x in *env*. An exception should be raised if no value is associated to x in env.

The function update should for a given string x, integer n and environment env, give a new environment, which is as env except that n is associated to x.

3. Declare mutually recursive functions:

E: expr -> env -> int and Extend: decl -> env -> env

The function E should evaluate an expression in a given environment.

The function Extend should, given a declaration list and an environment, produce a new updated environment, where the declarations are taken into account.

4. We want to extend the language with an if-then-else expression, to allow expressions like if x<y and z=5 then y else x+2, written in a suitable concrete syntax. Extend your program to incorporate this extension.

Problem 6 (10%)

Consider the following SML declarations:

- 1. Give the type of f, and give the values of the expressions f 1 0 and f 1 3. Describe what f computes.
- 2. Give the type of g, and describe what g computes.
- 3. Give the type of g (fn (x,y) => x=y), and describe what g (fn (x,y) => x=y) computes.

Problem 7 (15%)

Consider the following SML declarations:

```
fun take(_, []) = []
  | take(0, _) = []
  | take(i, x::xs) = x :: take(i-1, xs);
fun drop(_, []) = []
  | drop(0, xs) = xs
  | drop(i, x::xs) = drop(i-1, xs);
infix 0; fun [] 0 ys = ys
  | (x::xs) 0 ys = x::(xs 0 ys);
```

Prove that

take(k, xs) @ drop(k, xs) = xs

holds for every list xs and every non-negative integer k.