The Realm of Shared Variables

• Originates in multiprogramming on mono/multi-processors

Examples

- Threads sharing a common address space
- Processes sharing a common file (data base).
- Distributed objects

Observations

- Execution seen as interleaving of atomic actions
- Atomicity of anything but read/writes must be implemented

Selection of Granularity

- Atomic actions must be large to ensure *consistency*
- Atomic actions should be small to allow for parallelism
- Starting point:

Operations on abstract data types should be atomic

- A concurrent object is a shared data-structure with atomic operations
- monitor = concurrent object with atomicity by locking
- Monitors should always be the first choice

Implementation of Concurrent Objects

Implicitly by Syntactic Support

• Monitors + condition queues.

Concurrent Pascal, Pascal Plus, Mesa: monitor, condition, wait(c), signal(c)

Ada95: protected object, when B, requeue

Critical sections

Java: synchronized $(o)\{\ldots\}$, synchronized

 $C^{\#}$: lock $(o)\{\ldots\}$

• Does not allow for fine-tuning

Explicit use of Synchronization Primitives

- Semaphores (almost any operating system)
- Mutex + conditions (Pthreads, C#/.NET, Java 1.5, **not** Win32)

Monitor Issues

- Nested monitor calls
 - Drop monitor while calling blocking operations
- Deadlocks
 - Recursive locks
 - Locking hierarchy
 - Deadlock detection/retry
 - Combining monitors
- Locking of whole structure degrades concurrency
 - Apply R/W locking of operations
 - Use individual locking of parts
 - Loosen the locking (!!)
- Synchronization overhead
 - Prevent sharing by outer critical region
 - Use spin locks (on multiprocessors)
 - Use *non-blocking synchronization* (at low or high level)