

02152 CONCURRENT SYSTEMS FALL 2008

## **CP Exercise Class 8**

Monday December 1

### **Exam Problems**

1. Do Exam December 2003, Problem 1 (see reverse)
2. Do Exam December 2003, Problem 2 (see other sheet)
3. Do Exam December 2003, Problem 3 (see other sheet)

Notice that all of these problems are from a 2-hours exam so the percentages states should be halved for a 4-hours exam. Also problems for a 4-hours exam may be more complex (some 4-hours exams will appear on the course page soon).

**Good luck at the exam!**

## From Concurrent Systems Exam, December 2003 (2-hours)

### PROBLEM 1 (approx. 20 %)

Three processes  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$ , and  $P_3$  execute three operations  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $C$  respectively. The operations are synchronized by means of semaphores:

```
var SA, SB, SC : semaphore;
SA := 1; SB := 1; SC := 0;

process P1;      process P2;      process P3;
repeat          repeat          repeat
  P(SA);        P(SB);          P(SC);
  A;            B;              C;
  V(SC)         V(SC)          P(SC);
forever;       forever;        V(SA);
                                   V(SB)
                                   forever;
```

#### Question 1.1:

- (a) Draw a Petri Net in which the three operations  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $C$  are synchronized in the same way as in the above program. In the net, the operations should be represented by transitions.
- (b) Determine which pairs of operations can be executed concurrently.
- (c) State with a brief argument whether or not all three operations can be executed concurrently.

## From Concurrent Systems Exam, December 2003 (2-hours)

### PROBLEM 2 (approx. 30 %)

The questions in this problem can be solved independently of each other.

#### Question 2.1:

A process  $P$  uses three shared integer variables  $x$ ,  $y$ , and  $z$ . The variable  $x$  is both read and written by other processes, whereas  $y$  and  $z$  are only read by other processes. Determine which of the following statements in  $P$  can be considered to be atomic.

$$\begin{array}{ll} a: & x := x + 1 \\ b: & x := y + 1 \\ c: & y := x + 1 \\ d: & y := y + 1 \\ e: & x := y + z \\ f: & z := y + z \end{array}$$

#### Question 2.2:

A concurrent program is given by:

```
var  $x, y$  : integer := 0;  
co  $x := y + 1$  ||  $\langle y := x + 2 \rangle$ ;  $x := 2$  oc
```

- (a) Draw a transition diagram for each process.
- (b) Determine all possible final states  $(x, y)$  of the program.

#### Question 2.3:

Let  $x$  and  $y$  be integer variables. Determine which of the predicates  $P$ ,  $Q$ , and  $R$  are preserved by which of the actions  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$ , and  $a_3$ , respectively:

$$\begin{array}{ll} P \triangleq & x + y \geq 0 \\ Q \triangleq & 0 \leq y \leq x \\ R \triangleq & x \neq y \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ll} a_1: & y := 0 \\ a_2: & \langle y < 0 \rightarrow y := x + 1 \rangle \\ a_3: & \langle y = 0 \rightarrow x := 0 \rangle \end{array}$$

#### Question 2.4:

Let  $x$  and  $y$  be integer variables and let the temporal logic formula  $F$  be defined by:

$$F \triangleq (\Box y > x \geq 0) \wedge (\Box \Diamond x = 0) \wedge (x = 0 \leadsto x \neq 0)$$

- (a) Let states be given by pairs  $(x, y)$ . Give an example of an execution for which  $F$  holds. The execution should be given as a short sequence of states which is repeated forever.

Now, consider each of the following actions within a program:

$$\begin{array}{ll} a_1: & \langle \mathbf{await} \ x = 0 \rangle \\ a_2: & \langle \mathbf{await} \ y > 1 \rangle \\ a_3: & \langle \mathbf{await} \ x = 0 \vee y > 1 \rangle \\ a_4: & \langle \mathbf{await} \ x = 0 \wedge y > 1 \rangle \end{array}$$

Assume that control has reached the particular action and that  $F$  is valid for the program.

- (b) Determine which of the actions will be eventually executed assuming weak fairness.
- (c) Determine which of the actions will be eventually executed assuming strong fairness.

## From Concurrent Systems Exam, December 2003 (2-hours)

### PROBLEM 3 (approx. 20 %)

---

Let  $N$  be a positive integer. The server-based module *Batch* given below implements a synchronization mechanism that “collects” a batch of  $N$  items provided by calls of *put()* which may then be “removed” by a call of *unload()*.

```
module Batch
  op put();
  op unload();
body
  process Control;
    var count : integer := 0;
    repeat
      while count <  $N$  do
        in put()  $\rightarrow$  count := count + 1 ni;
      in unload()  $\rightarrow$  count := 0 ni;
    forever;
end Batch;
```

#### Question 3.1:

Assume  $N = 3$ . Suppose that, concurrently, *unload()* is called by two processes and *put()* is called by five processes. Assuming no further calls, describe the overall effect of these seven calls.

#### Question 3.2:

Now, the module *Batch* is to be replaced with a monitor which provides the same operations and behaves in the same way. Write such a monitor.