

Process Algebras and Concurrent Systems

An exercise for the GLOBAL participants
by R. DENICOLA

Consider the following two languages whose syntax is defined below and whose semantics is reported in the handouts.

micro-CCS

$$P ::= nil \mid a.P \mid c.P \mid P_1 + P_2 \mid P_1 \mid P_2 \mid P \backslash a \mid P \text{LFS}$$

micro-CSP

$$Q ::= nil \mid a.Q \mid Q_1 \oplus Q_2 \mid Q_1 \square Q_2 \mid Q_1 \parallel Q_2 \mid Q \backslash a \mid Q \text{LFS}$$

1. Define a translation function "tr" mapping one of the languages into the other (you are free to choose source and target language)

2. Study correctness of the proposed translation by checking whether there exists a behavioural equivalence " \approx " (weak/strong bisim., testing, trees, ...) such that you have

$$P_1 \approx P_2 \text{ iff } tr(P_1) \approx tr(P_2)$$

N.B. If useful (necessary) you can consider "restricted" variants or sublanguages of micro-CCS or micro-CSP, e.g. by requiring that summands before given term have different initial actions.