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## BRICS

Basic Research in Computer Science

## Overview

- Timed Automata - Decidability Results
- The UPPAAL Verification Engine
- Datastructures for zones
- Liveness Checking Algorithm
- Abstraction and Compositionality
- Further Optimizations


## Timed Automata Decidability Results

르르를
BRICS
Basic Research in Computer Science

## Decidability ?



## Derived Relations and Reachability

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
(l, u) \xrightarrow{\delta}\left(l^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right) & \text { iff } & \exists d>0 .(l, u) \xrightarrow{\epsilon(d)}\left(l^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right) . \\
(l, u) \xrightarrow{\xrightarrow{c}\left(l^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right)} \text { iff } \exists a \in \operatorname{Act.}(l, u) \xrightarrow{\rightarrow}\left(l^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right) \\
(l, u) \leadsto\left(l^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right) & \text { iff } & (l, u)(\xrightarrow{\delta} \cup \xrightarrow{\alpha})^{*}\left(l^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right)
\end{array}
$$

## Definition

The set of reachable locations, $\operatorname{Reach}(A)$, of a timed automaton $A$ is defined as:

$$
l \in \operatorname{Reach}(A) \equiv^{\triangle} \exists u .\left(l_{0}, u_{0}\right) \leadsto(l, u)
$$

## Time Abstracted Bisimulation

## Definition

Let $G \subseteq L$ be a set of goal locations. An equivalence relation $R$ on $L \times \mathbb{R}^{C}$ is a TAB wrt $G$ if whenever $(l, u) R(n, v)$ the following holds:

1. $l \in G$ iff $n \in G$,
2. whenever $(l, u) \xrightarrow{\delta}\left(l^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right)$ then $(n, v) \xrightarrow{\delta}\left(n^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)$ with $\left(l^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right) R\left(n^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)$
3. whenever $(l, u) \xrightarrow{a}\left(l^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right)$ then $(n, v) \xrightarrow{a}\left(n^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)$ with $\left(l^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right) R\left(n^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)$

## Stable Quotient

## Definition

Let $R$ be a TAB wrt $G$. The induced quotient has classes of $R$, $\pi \in\left(L \times \mathbb{R}^{C} / R\right)$, as states. For classes $\pi, \pi^{\prime}$ the transitions are

- $\pi \xrightarrow{\delta} \pi^{\prime}$ iff $(l, u) \xrightarrow{\delta}\left(l^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right)$ for some $(l, u) \in \pi,\left(l^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right) \in \pi^{\prime}$.
- $\pi \xrightarrow{a} \pi^{\prime}$ iff $(l, u) \xrightarrow{a}\left(l^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right)$ for some $(l, u) \in \pi,\left(l^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right) \in \pi^{\prime}$.


## Theorem

Let $R$ be TAB wrt $G$. Then, a location from $G$ is reachable iff there exists an equivalence class $\pi$ of $R$ such that $\pi$ is reachable in the quotient and $\pi$ contains a state whose location is in $G$.

## Stable Quotient

## Partitioning
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## Stable Quotient

## Partitioning



## Regions

## Finite Partitioning of State Space

For each clock $x$ let $c_{x}$ be the largest integer with which $x$ is compared
 in any guard or invariant of $A . u$ and $u^{\prime}$ are region equivalent, $u \cong u^{\prime}$ iff the following holds:

1. For all $x \in C$, either $\lfloor u(x)\rfloor=\left\lfloor u^{\prime}(x)\right\rfloor$ or $u(x), u^{\prime}(x)>c_{x}$;
2. For all $x, y \in C$ with $u(x) \leq c_{x}$ and $u(y) \leq c_{y}$, $f r(u(x)) \leq f r(u(y))$ iff $f r\left(u^{\prime}(x)\right) \leq f r\left(u^{\prime}(y)\right)$;
3. For all $x \in C$ with $u(x) \leq c_{x}$,
$f r(u(x))=0$ iff $f r\left(u^{\prime}(x)\right)=0$.

An equivalence class (i.e. a region)
in fact there is only a finite number of regions!!

## Fundamental Results

■ Reachability © Alur, Dill

- Trace-inclusion Alur, Dill
- Timed © ; Untimed ©
- Bisimulation
- Timed © Cerans ; Untimed ©

■ Model-checking :

- TCTL, $T_{m u} L_{\text {nu }} \ldots$


## Updatable Timed Automata



## The UPPAAL Verification Engine



## BRICS

Basic Research in Computer Science

## Overview

- Zones and DBMs
- Minimal Constraint Form
- Clock Difference Diagrams
- Distributed UPPAAL
- Unification \& Sharing
- Acceleration
- Static Guard Analysis
- Storage-Strategies
[CAV2000, STTT2004]
[FTRTFT2002, SPI N2003]
[FORMATS2002]
[TACAS2003,TACAS2004]
[CAV2003]


## Zones <br> From infinite to finite

State
( $n, x=3.2, y=2.5$ )


Symbolic state (set)
( $\mathrm{n}, 1 \leq \mathrm{x} \leq 4,1 \leq \mathrm{y} \leq 3$ )
Zone:
conjunction of
$\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y}<=\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{x}<=>\mathrm{n}$

## Symbolic Transitions



Thus $(\mathrm{n}, 1<=\mathrm{x}<=4,1<=\mathrm{y}<=3)=\mathrm{a}=>(\mathrm{m}, 3<\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}=0)$

## Zones $=$ Conjuctive Constraints

- A zone $Z$ is a conjunctive formula:

$$
g_{1} \& g_{2} \& \ldots \& g_{n}
$$

where $g_{i}$ is a clock constraint $x_{i} \sim b_{i}$ or $x_{i}-x_{j} \sim b_{i j}$

- Use a zero-clock $\mathrm{x}_{0}$ (constant 0 )
- A zone can be re-written as a set:

$$
\left\{x_{i}-x_{j} \sim b_{i j} \mid \sim \text { is }<\text { or } \leq, i, j \leq n\right\}
$$

- This can be represented as a matrix, DBM (Difference Bound Matrices)


## Operations on Zones

- Future delay $\mathrm{Z} \uparrow$ :

$$
[z \uparrow]=\{u+d \mid d \in R, u \in[Z]\}
$$

- Past delay $\mathrm{Z} \downarrow$ :

$$
[Z \downarrow]=\{u \mid u+d \in[Z] \text { for some } d \in R\}
$$

- Reset: $\{x\} Z$ or $Z(x:=0)$

$$
[\{x\} Z]=\{u[0 / x] \mid u \in[Z]\}
$$

- Conjunction

$$
[Z \& g]=[Z] \cap[g]
$$

## THEOREM

- The set of zones is closed under all constraint operations.
- That is, the result of the operations on a zone is a zone.
- That is, there will be a zone (a finite object i.e a zone/constraints) to represent the sets: [Z个], [Zฟ], [\{x\}Z], [Z\&g].


## Symbolic Exploration
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## Left
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Reachable?

## Symbolic Exploration



$$
y<=2, x>=4
$$



## Delay

## Reachable?
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$$
y<=2, x>=4
$$



## Delay

## Reachable?

## Symbolic Exploration



$$
y<=2, x>=4
$$



Down

## Reachable?

## Forward Rechability

I nit -> Final ?



INITIAL Passed: $=\varnothing$; Waiting := $\{(\mathrm{no}, \mathrm{ZO})\}$

REPEAT

UNTI L Waiting $=\varnothing$
or
Final is in Waiting

## Forward Rechability

## I nit -> Final ?



INITIAL Passed : = $\varnothing$; Waiting :=\{(n0,Z0)\}

## REPEAT

- pick ( $n, Z$ ) in Waiting
- if for some $Z^{\prime} \supseteq \quad Z$ $\left(n, Z^{\prime}\right)$ in Passed then STOP

UNTIL Waiting = $\varnothing$
or
Final is in Waiting

## Forward Rechability

I nit -> Final ?



INITIAL Passed := ; Waiting := $\{(\mathrm{nO}, \mathrm{ZO})\}$

## REPEAT

- pick ( $n, Z$ ) in Waiting
- if for some $Z^{\prime} \supseteq \mathbf{Z}$ ( $n, Z^{\prime}$ ) in Passed then STOP
- else /explore/ add
$\{(m, U):(n, Z)=>(m, U)\}$ to Waiting;

UNTIL Waiting = $\varnothing$
or
Final is in Waiting

## Forward Rechability

I nit -> Final ?



INITIAL Passed : = $\varnothing$; Waiting := $\{(\mathrm{nO}, \mathrm{ZO})\}$

## REPEAT

- pick ( $n, Z$ ) in Waiting
- if for some $Z^{\prime} \supseteq \quad Z$ ( $n, Z^{\prime}$ ) in Passed then STOP
- else /explore/ add
$\{(m, U):(n, Z)=>(m, U)\}$ to Waiting;
Add ( $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{Z}$ ) to Passed
UNTI L Waiting = $\varnothing$
or
Final is in Waiting


# Canonical Datastructures for Zones 

## Difference Bounded Matrices

Bellman 1958, Dill 1989

## I nclusion

D1 $\begin{aligned} & x<=1 \\ & y-x<=2 \\ & z-y<=2 \\ & z<=9\end{aligned}$


$$
\mathbf{?} \subseteq ?
$$



Graph


# Canonical Datastructures for Zones 

 Difference Bounded Matrices
## I nclusion

D1 $\begin{aligned} & x<=1 \\ & y-x<=2 \\ & z-y<=2 \\ & z<=9\end{aligned}$


$$
2 \subseteq ?
$$

D2 $\begin{aligned} & x<=2 \\ & y-x<=3 \\ & y<=3 \\ & z-y<=3 \\ & z<=7\end{aligned}$
Graph


Shortest
Path
Closure


# Canonical Datastructures for Zones 

Difference Bounded Matrices

## Emptiness



Negative Cycle iff
empty solution set

# Canonical Datastructures for Zones <br> Difference Bounded Matrices 

Future

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1<=x<=4 \\
& 1<=y<=3
\end{aligned}
$$



## Future D

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1<=x, 1<=y \\
& -2<=x-y<=3
\end{aligned}
$$



# Canonical Datastructures for Zones 

Difference Bounded Matrices

## Reset



# Canonical Datastructures for Zones <br> Difference Bounded Matrices 

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x 1-\times 2<=4 \\
& \times 2-\times 1<=10 \\
& \times 3-\times 1<=2 \\
& \times 2-\times 3<=2 \\
& \times 0-\times 1<=3 \\
& \times 3-\times 0<=5
\end{aligned}
$$



# Canonical Datastructures for Zones Minimal Constraint Form 

RTSS 1997


Space worst $O\left(n^{\wedge} 2\right)$
practice $O(n)$

## SPACE PERFORMANCE



## TIME PERFORM ANCE



## Shortest Path Reduction

 1st attempt

An edge is REDUNDANT if there exists an alternative path of no greater weight THUS Remove all redundant edges!
v and w are both redundant
Removal of one depends on presence of other.

Observation: If no zero- or negative cycles then SAFE to remove all redundancies.

## Shortest Path Reduction

## Solution
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1. Equivalence classes based on 0 -cycles.

## Shortest Path Reduction

## Solution



1. Equivalence classes based on 0 -cycles.
2. Graph based on representatives.
Safe to remove redundant edges

## Shortest Path Reduction

## Solution



Canonical given order of clocks

1. Equivalence classes based on 0 -cycles.
2. Graph based on representatives.
Safe to remove redundant edges
3. Shortest Path Reduction

$$
=
$$

One cycle pr. class $+$
Removal of redundant edges between classes

## Earlier Termination

## I nit -> Final ?



INITIAL Passed := Ø; Waiting := $\{(\mathrm{no}, \mathrm{zo})\}$

## REPEAT

- pick ( $n, Z$ ) in Waiting
- if for some $Z^{\prime} \supseteq \quad Z$ ( $n, Z^{\prime}$ ) in Passed then STOP
- else /explore/ add
$\{(m, U):(n, Z)=>(m, U)\}$ to Waiting;
Add ( $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{Z}$ ) to Passed
UNTI L Waiting = $\varnothing$
or
Final is in Waiting


## Earlier Termination

## I nit -> Final ?



INITIAL Passed := Ø; Waiting := $\{(\mathrm{no}, \mathrm{zo})\}$

## REPEAT

- pick (n,Z) in Waiting
- if for som $Z^{\prime} \supseteq Z Z$ $\left(n, Z^{\prime}\right)$ in Passed then STOP
- else /explore/ add
$\{(m, U):(n, Z)=>(m, U)\}$ to Waiting;
Add ( $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{Z}$ ) to Passed
UNTIL Waiting $=\varnothing$
or
Final is in Waiting


## Earlier Termination

## I nit -> Final ?



## Clock Difference Diagrams

= Binary Decision Diagrams + Difference Bounded Matrices

## CDD-representations


(b)

(c)


- Nodes labeled with differences
- Maximal sharing of substructures (also across different CDDs)
- Maximal intervals
- Linear-time algorithms for set-theoretic operations.
- NDD's Maler et. al
- DDD’s Møller, Lichtenberg


## SPACE PERFORMANCE



TIME PERFORM ANCE


## UPPAAL 1995-2001


Dec'96

> Every 9 month 10 times better performance!



## Liveness Properties

in UPDALK

$$
\mathrm{F}::=\mathrm{E} \square \mathrm{P} \quad \mid \quad-\quad \text { Possibly always } \mathrm{P}
$$

$$
\mathrm{A} \diamond \mathrm{P} \quad \mid \quad \text { Eventually } \mathrm{P}
$$

is equivalent to ( $\neg \mathrm{E} \square \neg \mathrm{P}$ )


P leads to Q
is equivalent to
$\mathrm{A} \square(\mathrm{P} \Rightarrow \mathrm{A} \diamond \mathrm{Q})$

Bouajjani, Tripakis, Yovine'97
On-the-fly symbolic model checking of TCTL

```
proc Liveness( }\mp@subsup{s}{0}{},\varphi,\mathrm{ Passed) }
    pre(s}\mp@subsup{s}{0}{}=\operatorname{delay}(\mp@subsup{s}{0}{})
    pre (so \models\varphi)
    pre(\negunboundeds s}\wedge\neg\mathrm{ deadlocked (so))
    pre(\foralls\in Passed. s}\modelsA\diamond\neg\varphi
    WS:= {so };
    ST:=\emptyset;
    while }WS\not=\emptyset\underline{do
        s:= pop(WS);
        while top(ST) f parent(s) do
            Passed := Passed \cup{pop (ST)};
        od
        push(ST,s);
        if }\forall\mp@subsup{s}{}{\prime}\in\mathrm{ Passed. }s\not\subseteq\mp@subsup{s}{}{\prime
            then foreach }t:s\stackrel{q}{=>}t\underline{\mathrm{ do}
                        if}t\models\varphi\underline{\mathrm{ then }t:= delay (t);
                    if unbounded (t) then exit(true) fi
                    if deadlocked}(t)\mathrm{ then exit(true) fi
                    if }\exists\mp@subsup{t}{}{\prime}\inST.t=\mp@subsup{t}{}{\prime}\mathrm{ then exit(true) }\underline{\textrm{fi}
                    push(WS,t);
                        fi
                od
            fi
    od
    exit(false);
end
```

proc Liveness $\left(s_{0}, \varphi\right.$, Passed $) \equiv$
$\operatorname{pre}\left(s_{0}=\operatorname{delay}\left(s_{0}\right)\right)$
$\operatorname{pre}\left(s_{0} \models \varphi\right)$
$\operatorname{pre}\left(\neg\right.$ unboundeds $s_{0} \wedge \neg$ deadlocked $\left.\left(s_{0}\right)\right)$
pre $(\forall s \in$ Passed. $s \models A \diamond \neg \varphi)$
WS :=\{ $\left.s_{0}\right\}$;
ST := $\emptyset ;$
while $W S \neq \emptyset$ do
$s:=\operatorname{pop}(W S): \quad$ ST
while $\operatorname{top}(\mathrm{ST}) \neq \operatorname{parent}(\mathrm{s})$ do Passed $:=$ Passed $\cup\{\operatorname{pop}(S T)\} ;$
od
push(ST, s);
if $\forall s^{\prime} \in$ Passed. $s \nsubseteq s^{\prime}$
then foreach $t: s \stackrel{q}{\Rightarrow} t \underline{\text { do }}$ if $t \models \varphi$ then $t:=\operatorname{delay}(t)$; if unbounded $(t)$ then exit $(t r$ if deadlocked $(t)$ then exit $(t$ if $\exists t^{\prime} \in S T . t=t^{\prime}$ then exj push(WS, $t$ ); fi
od
exit (false);
end
od
fi
od
fi

proc Liveness $\left(s_{0}, \varphi\right.$, Passed $) \equiv$
$\operatorname{pre}\left(s_{0}=\operatorname{delay}\left(s_{0}\right)\right)$
$\operatorname{pre}\left(s_{0} \models \varphi\right)$
$\operatorname{pre}\left(\neg\right.$ unboundeds $s_{0} \wedge \neg$ deadlocked $\left.\left(s_{0}\right)\right)$
$\operatorname{pre}(\forall s \in \operatorname{Passed} . s \models A \diamond \neg \varphi)$
WS :=\{ $\left.s_{0}\right\}$;
ST := $\emptyset$;
while $W S \neq \emptyset$ do
$s:=\operatorname{pop}(W S)$;
while $\operatorname{top}(\mathrm{ST}) \neq \operatorname{parent}(\mathrm{s}) \underline{\text { do }}$ Passed $:=$ Passed $\cup\{\operatorname{pop}(S T)\} ;$
od
push(ST, s);
if $\forall s^{\prime} \in$ Passed. $s \nsubseteq s^{\prime}$
then foreach $t: s \stackrel{q}{\Rightarrow} t \underline{\text { do }}$ if $t \models \varphi$ then $t:=\operatorname{delay}(t)$; if unbounded $(t)$ then exit $(t r y$ if deadlocked $(t)$ then exit $(t$ if $\exists t^{\prime} \in S T . t=t^{\prime}$ then exj push(WS, $t$ ); fi
fi
od
exit (false);
end
proc Liveness $\left(s_{0}, \varphi\right.$, Passed $) \equiv$
$\operatorname{pre}\left(s_{0}=\operatorname{delay}\left(s_{0}\right)\right)$
$\operatorname{pre}\left(s_{0} \models \varphi\right)$
$\operatorname{pre}\left(\neg\right.$ unboundeds $s_{0} \wedge \neg$ deadlocked $\left.\left(s_{0}\right)\right)$
pre $(\forall s \in$ Passed. $s \models A \diamond \neg \varphi)$
WS :=\{ $\left.s_{0}\right\}$;
ST : $=\emptyset$;
while $W S \neq \emptyset$ do
$s:=\operatorname{pop}(W S) ;$
while $\operatorname{top}(\mathrm{ST}) \neq \operatorname{parent}(\mathrm{s})$ do
od
push(ST, s);
if $\forall s^{\prime} \in$ Passed. $s \nsubseteq s^{\prime}$
then foreach $t: s \stackrel{q}{\Rightarrow} t$ do if $t \models \varphi$ then $t:=\operatorname{delay}(t)$; if unbounded $(t)$ then exit $(t r y$ if deadlocked $(t)$ then exit $(t)$ if $\exists t^{\prime} \in S T . t=t^{\prime}$ then exj push(WS, $t$ ); fi od
fi
od
exit (false);
end

$$
\text { Passed }:=\operatorname{Passed} \cup\{\operatorname{pop}(S T)\}
$$


proc Liveness $\left(s_{0}, \varphi\right.$, Passed $) \equiv$
$\operatorname{pre}\left(s_{0}=\operatorname{delay}\left(s_{0}\right)\right)$
$\operatorname{pre}\left(s_{0} \models \varphi\right)$
$\operatorname{pre}\left(\neg\right.$ unboundeds $s_{0} \wedge \neg$ deadlocked $\left.\left(s_{0}\right)\right)$
$\operatorname{pre}(\forall s \in \operatorname{Passed} . s \models A \diamond \neg \varphi)$
WS :=\{ $\left.s_{0}\right\}$;
ST := $\emptyset$;
while $W S \neq \emptyset$ do $s:=\operatorname{pop}(W S) ;$
while $\operatorname{top}(S T) \neq \operatorname{parent}(s) \underline{\text { do }}$ Passed $:=$ Passed $\cup\{\operatorname{pop}(S T)\} ;$
od

- $\operatorname{push}(S T, s)$;
if $\forall s^{\prime} \in$ Passed. $s \nsubseteq s^{\prime}$
then foreach $t: s \stackrel{a}{\Rightarrow} t$ do if $t \models \varphi$ then $t:=\operatorname{delay}(t)$; if unbounded $(t)$ then exit $(t r y$ if deadlocked $(t)$ then exit $(t)$ if $\exists t^{\prime} \in S T . t=t^{\prime}$ then exj push(WS, $t$ ); fi od
fi
while $W S \neq \emptyset$ do

od
exit (false);
end
proc Liveness $\left(s_{0}, \varphi\right.$, Passed $) \equiv$
$\operatorname{pre}\left(s_{0}=\operatorname{delay}\left(s_{0}\right)\right)$
$\operatorname{pre}\left(s_{0} \models \varphi\right)$
$\operatorname{pre}\left(\neg\right.$ unboundeds $s_{0} \wedge \neg$ deadlocked $\left.\left(s_{0}\right)\right)$
$\operatorname{pre}(\forall s \in \operatorname{Passed} . s \models A \diamond \neg \varphi)$
WS :=\{ $\left.s_{0}\right\}$;
ST := $\emptyset$;
while $W S \neq \emptyset$ do
$s:=\operatorname{pop}(W S) ;$
while $\operatorname{top}(S T) \neq \operatorname{parent}(s) \underline{\text { do }}$
Passed $:=\operatorname{Passed} \cup\{\operatorname{pop}(S T)\} ;$
od
push(ST, s);
- if $\forall s^{\prime} \in$ Passed. $s \nsubseteq s^{\prime}$
then foreach $t: s \stackrel{a}{\Rightarrow} t \underline{\text { do }}$ if $t \models \varphi$ then $t:=\operatorname{delay}(t)$; if unbounded $(t)$ then exit $(t r$ if deadlocked $(t)$ then exit $(t)$ if $\exists t^{\prime} \in S T . t=t^{\prime}$ then ex push(WS, $t$ ); fi od
fi
od
exit (false);
end

proc Liveness $\left(s_{0}, \varphi\right.$, Passed $) \equiv$
$\operatorname{pre}\left(s_{0}=\operatorname{delay}\left(s_{0}\right)\right)$
$\operatorname{pre}\left(s_{0} \models \varphi\right)$
pre $\left(\neg\right.$ unboundeds $s_{0} \wedge \neg$ deadlocked $\left.\left(s_{0}\right)\right)$
$\operatorname{pre}(\forall s \in \operatorname{Passed} . s \models A \diamond \neg \varphi)$
WS :=\{ $\left.s_{0}\right\}$;
ST := $\emptyset$;
while $W S \neq \emptyset$ do $s:=\operatorname{pop}(W S) ;$
while $\operatorname{top}(S T) \neq \operatorname{parent}(s) \underline{\text { do }}$ Passed $:=\operatorname{Passed} \cup\{\operatorname{pop}(S T)\} ;$
od
push(ST, s);
if $\forall s^{\prime} \in$ Passed. $s \nsubseteq s^{\prime}$
- then foreach $t: s \stackrel{q}{\Rightarrow} t \underline{\text { do }}$ if $t \equiv \varphi$ then $t:=\operatorname{delay}(t)$; if unbounded $(t)$ then exit $(t r$ if deadlocked $(t)$ then exit $(t$ if $\exists t^{\prime} \in S T . t=t^{\prime}$ then exj push(WS, $t$ ); fi
od
fi
while $W S \neq \emptyset d$

od
exit (false);
end
proc Liveness $\left(s_{0}, \varphi\right.$, Passed $) \equiv$

```
    \(\operatorname{pre}\left(s_{0}=\operatorname{delay}\left(s_{0}\right)\right)\)
    \(\operatorname{pre}\left(s_{0} \models \varphi\right)\)
    pre \(\left(\neg\right.\) unbounded \(s_{0} \wedge \neg\) deadlocked \(\left.\left(s_{0}\right)\right)\)
    \(\operatorname{pre}(\forall s \in \operatorname{Passed} . s \models A \diamond \neg \varphi)\)
    WS :=\{ \(\left.s_{0}\right\}\);
    ST : \(=\emptyset\);
```

    while \(W S \neq \emptyset \underline{\text { do }}\)
    \(s:=\operatorname{pop}(W S) ;\)
    while \(\operatorname{top}(\mathrm{ST}) \neq \operatorname{parent}(\mathrm{s}) \underline{\text { do }}\)
                Passed := Passed \(\cup\{\operatorname{pop}(S T)\} ;\)
    od
    push(ST, s);
    if \(\forall s^{\prime} \in\) Passed. \(s \nsubseteq s^{\prime}\)
        then foreach \(t: s \stackrel{q}{\Rightarrow} t\) do
                        if \(t \models \varphi\) then \(t:=\operatorname{delay}(t)\);
                        if unbounded \((t)\) then exit \((\operatorname{try}\)
                if deadlocked \((t)\) then exit \((t\)
                if \(\exists t^{\prime} \in S T . t=t^{\prime}\) then exi
                push( \(W \mathrm{~S}, t\) ):
                fi
            od
        fi
    od
    exit (false);
    end

Unexplored

## Compositionality \& Abstraction

르를

## BRICS

Basic Research in Computer Science

The State Explosion Problem

sat $\varphi$

Model-checking is either EXPTIME-complete or PSPACE-complete (for TA's this is true even for a single TA)

## Abstraction


sat $\varphi$

REDUCE TO


in Computer Science

## Compositionality

## Sys



Sys $_{1} \leq$ Abs $_{1}$
Sys $_{2} \leq A b s_{2}$
Sys $_{1} \mid$ Sys $_{2} \leq A b s_{1} \mid A b s_{2}$

Sys $_{1} \leq A b s_{1}$
$\mathrm{Sys}_{2} \leq \mathrm{Abs}_{2}$
$A b s_{1} \mid A b s_{2} \leq A b s$
Sys $\leq$ Abs

## Abstraction Example



## Example Continued



## Proving abstractions

## using reachability



## Further Optimizations



BRICS
Basic Research in Computer Science

## Datastructures for Zones

- UPPAAL DBM Library

The library used to manipulate DBMS in UPPAAL
Main Page | Download | Ruby Binding | Help | Contact us

## N

Welcome!
DBMs [dill89, rokicki93, Ipw:fct95, bengtsson02] are efficient data structures to represent clock constraints in timed automata [ad90]. They are used in UPPAAL [Ipy97, by04, bdl04] as the core data structure to represent time. The library features all the common operations such as up (delay, or future), down (past), general updates, different extrapolation functions, etc.. on DBMs and federations. The library also supports subtractions. The API is in C and $\mathrm{C}++$. The $\mathrm{C}++$ part uses active clocks and hides (to some extent) memory management.

## References

- [dill89] David L. Dill. Timing Assumptions and Verification of Finite-State Concurren Springer Berlin 1989, pp 197-212.
- [rokicki93] Tomas Gerhard Rokicki. Representing an University 1993.
- [lpw:fct95] Kim G. Larsen, Paul Pettersson, and Fundamentals of Computation Theory 1995, LNCS 96
- [bengtsson02] Johan Bengtsson. Clocks, DBM, and University 2002.
- [ad90] Rajeev Alur and David L. Dill. Automata Colloquium on Algorithms, Languages, and Programm
- [Ipy97] Kim G. Larsen, Paul Pettersson, and Wang Software Tools for Technology Transfer, October 199
- [by041 Johan Bengtsson and Wang Yi. Timed Automa and Petri Nets 2004, LNCS 3098.
- 「bdl041 Gerd Behrmann. Alexandre David, and Kim


Elegant RUBY bindings for easy implementations

## Latest News

Updated the Ruby binding page 15 Nov 2005

Added a quick Getting Started mini tutorial.

Ruby binding version 0.4


## Zone Abstractions

## [TACAS03,TACAS04]




- Abstraction taking maximum constant into account necessary for termination
- Utilization of distinction between lower and upper bounds
- Utilization of location-dependency


## LU Abstraction


[TACAS04]





## THEOREM

For any state in the LU- abstraction there is a state in the original set simulating it

LU abstraction is exact wrt reachability

## Zone abstractions

| Model | Classical |  |  | Loc. dep. Max |  |  | Loc. dep. LU |  |  | Convex Hull |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -n1 |  |  | -n2 |  |  | -n3 |  |  | -A |  |  |
|  | Time | States | Mem | Time | States | Mem | Time | States | Mem | Time | States | Mem |
| f5 | 4.02 | 82,685 | 5 | 0.24 | 16,980 | 3 | 0.03 | 2,870 | 3 | 0.03 | 3,650 | 3 |
| f6 | 597.04 | 1,489,230 | 49 | 6.67 | 158,220 | 7 | 0.11 | 11,484 | 3 | 0.10 | 14,658 |  |
| f7 |  |  |  | 352.67 | 1,620,542 | 46 | 0.47 | 44,142 | 3 | 0.45 | 56,252 | 5 |
| f8 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.11 | 164,528 | 6 | 2.08 | 208,744 | 12 |
| f9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.76 | 598,662 | 19 | 9.11 | 754,974 | 39 |
| f10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 37.26 | 2,136,980 | 68 | 39.13 | 2,676,150 | 143 |
| f11 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 152.44 | 7,510,382 | 268 |  |  |  |
| c5 | 0.55 | 27,174 | 3 | 0.14 | 10,569 | 3 | 0.02 | 2,027 | 3 | 0.03 | 1,651 | 3 |
| c6 | 19.39 | 287,109 | 11 | 3.63 | 87,977 | 5 | 0.10 | 6,296 | 3 | 0.06 | 4,986 | 3 |
| c7 |  |  |  | 195.35 | 813,924 | 29 | 0.28 | 18,205 | 3 | 0.22 | 14,101 | 4 |
| c8 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.98 | 50,058 | 5 | 0.66 | 38,060 | 7 |
| c9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.90 | 132,623 | 12 | 1.89 | 99,215 | 17 |
| c10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.42 | 341,452 | 29 | 5.48 | 251,758 | 49 |
| c11 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24.13 | 859,265 | 76 | 15.66 | 625,225 | 138 |
| c12 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 68.20 | 2,122,286 | 202 | 43.10 | 1,525,536 | 394 |
| bus | 102.28 |  | 303 |  |  |  | 62.01 | 4,317,920 | 246 | 45.08 | 3,826,742 | 324 |
| philips | 0.16 | 12,823 | 3 | 0.09 | 6,763 | 3 | 0.09 | 6,599 | 3 | 0.07 | 5,992 | 3 |
| sched | 17.01 | 929,726 | 76 | 15.09 | 700,917 | 58 | 12.85 | 619,351 | 52 | 55.41 | 3,636,576 | 427 |

## Symmetry Reduction

- Exploitation of full symmetry may give factorial reduction
- Many timed systems are inherently symmetric
- Computation of canonical state representative using swaps.
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## Symmetry Reduction

[Formats 2003]


## Symmetry Reduction

## UPPAAL 3.6

- Iterators
- Quantifiers
- Selection
- Template sets process P[4](...) \{ \}
- Scalar set based symmetry reduction
- Compact state-space representations
- Priorities


## File information:

Model: ANASTRONOMICALLY BIG MODEL Browse

Query: A VERY INTERESTING QUESTION
Browse

## Model checking options

Search order: © bredth first $\bigcirc$ width first
State space reduction: $\bigcirc$ none © conservative $\bigcirc$ aggressive
State space representation: © DBM $\bigcirc$ compact data structure $\bigcirc$ under approximation $\bigcirc$ over approximation New syntax C no © yes

## Distribution options

Number of CPUs: ○ 1 ○ 5 ○ $10 \bigcirc 15$ ○ $20 \bigcirc 25$ ○ $30 \bigcirc 35$ ○ 49
Run options

```
Max walltime (minutes): © 1 O 5 O 15 ○ 30 0 60 ○ 120 © 240
```


## Contact information

Email: |kg|@cs.auc.dk|

```
Submit Query
Reset
```

